



JOURNAL OF PHILOSOPHY, POLICY AND STRATEGIC STUDIES

Volume 2, Number 4 (September, 2026)

ISSN: 1595-9457 (online); 3043-4211 (print)

Website: <https://jppssuniuyo.com/> Email: jppssuniuyo@gmail.com

Received: August 21, 2026 Accepted: September 14, 2026 Published: September 30, 2026

Citation: Anayo, Raphael O. (2026). "Machiavelli's Conception Of Political Order And Its Relevance To Contemporary African States." *Journal of Philosophy, Policy and Strategic Studies*, 2 (4): 218-228.

Article

Open Access

MACHIAVELLI'S CONCEPTION OF POLITICAL ORDER AND ITS RELEVANCE TO CONTEMPORARY AFRICAN STATES

Raphael Onyeji Anayo

Directorate of General Studies, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria

ORCID: 0009-0007-0989-3035

Corresponding Email: raphaelonyeji@gmail.com

Abstract

This article looks into Niccolò Machiavelli's ideas about political order and how they relate to modern African nations. This article therefore illustrates that this perspective is still pertinent to today's African states, where issues like weak institutions, corruption, leadership failures, political unrest, and disputed elections continue to hinder effective governance. It also highlights that Machiavelli's focus on managing political conflict through institutions provides a valuable lens for examining the dynamics of political competition, freedom, and stability in African contexts. This posits that Machiavelli's view of political order goes beyond just maintaining government authority or quelling political disputes. In his key works, especially *The Prince and Discourses on Livy*, he suggested that political order relies on strong institutions, wise leadership, the balancing of competing political interests, and the nurturing of environments where political communities can thrive. However, achieving political order in contemporary Africa faces numerous challenges, such as fragile institutions, patronage systems, socioeconomic disparities, corruption, electoral fraud, and a lack of accountability. The article concludes therefore that Machiavelli's political insights can serve as a helpful analytical tool for enhancing political order in Africa, especially when thoughtfully adapted to fit today's constitutional and democratic realities.

Keywords: Machiavelli, Political Order, Political Conflict, Institutions, Leadership, Corruption, African States, Democracy.

Copyright © 2026 By JPPSS. Publishers: Omega Books

This is an open access article which permits unrestricted use provided the work is properly cited.

Introduction

The issue of political order is a key topic in political philosophy. Every political community faces the challenge of figuring out how to establish, maintain, and ensure a stable authority that allows for collective living. This becomes even more crucial in societies where political institutions are shaky, competition is fierce, and the legitimacy of those in power is often questioned. So, it's not just about how political power is gained; it's also about how to organize political authority in a way that makes coexistence possible. Niccolò Machiavelli (1409-1527) holds a significant spot in the history of political thought due to his deep engagement with this issue. Writing during the politically tumultuous times of Renaissance Italy, Machiavelli was focused on what it takes for states to survive, institutions to last, and communities to avoid falling apart. His ideas are often linked to themes of power, manipulation, coercion, and the harsh realities of political necessity. However, this view doesn't fully capture his understanding of political order. In works like the *Discourses on Livy*, Machiavelli offers a richer perspective where institutions, political participation, conflict, liberty, and civic engagement are crucial for the survival of a political community. Machiavelli's insights remain relevant today as he challenges us to see politics not just through the lens of idealistic views of human behaviour. Political actors are driven by a mix of competing interests, ambitions, fears, and desires. Therefore, political institutions need to be crafted with these complexities in mind. A political community that tries to ignore ambition doesn't make it disappear; instead, it risks letting ambition run wild outside of any institutional framework. From this standpoint, achieving political order means creating systems that can effectively manage these competing interests. This insight is incredibly important for modern African nations. Since achieving independence, many African countries have made remarkable progress in areas like constitutional development, electoral politics, regional integration, and democratic governance. Yet, the continent is still grappled with significant challenges, such as institutional weaknesses, corruption, political instability, electoral disputes, executive overreach, socioeconomic inequalities, and the concentration of political power. The African Union's Agenda 2063 emphasizes democratic governance, the rule of law, robust institutions, and transformative leadership as essential goals for the continent.

At the same time, citizens across Africa are demonstrating strong support for democratic governance, even while expressing frustration with the performance of various political institutions. For example, a survey by Afrobarometer conducted across 39 African nations found that 66 percent of respondents preferred democracy over any other form of government, but only 37 percent felt satisfied with how democracy operates in their countries. The report links this dissatisfaction to issues like corruption, weak accountability, flawed electoral processes, and a culture of impunity. These findings suggest that the challenge for many African states isn't a rejection of political order or democracy itself, but rather the struggle to establish institutions that can deliver effective, accountable, and legitimate governance. This article explores Machiavelli's perspectives on political order and assesses their relevance for today's African states. It argues that Machiavelli provides a useful framework for understanding political order as a product of institutional capacity, effective leadership, the management of political conflict, and the control of corruption. The article doesn't suggest that contemporary African states should simply mimic Machiavelli's political ideas. Instead, his insights are employed as analytical tools to gain a deeper understanding of the current landscape. The discussion unfolds across seven sections. After this introduction, the second section delves into Machiavelli's view on political order. The

third section looks at political conflict, institutions, and the concept of liberty. In the fourth section, we explore political leadership, the idea of virtù, and the issue of corruption. The fifth section serves as the heart of the article, focusing on how Machiavelli's ideas about political order apply to modern African states. The sixth section highlights the key factors that hinder political order in these contemporary contexts. Finally, the last section wraps up the argument, bringing everything together.

Machiavelli's Conception of Political Order

Machiavelli's view of political order came from his clear break with political theories that start with an idealized vision of humans and society. He based his approach on what he calls the "effectual truth" of political life. This means that political analysis should kick off with how people actually act, rather than what we think they should do. In *The Prince*, Machiavelli pointed out that rulers who try to act virtuously in every situation might end up jeopardizing their own power, since political realities often demand choices that traditional morality would frown upon (Machiavelli 1998). However, this political realism doesn't imply that Machiavelli saw political order as just arbitrary control. Instead, he believed that political institutions and leaders need to effectively navigate the complexities of ambition, conflict, self-interest, fear, and competition. A political system that can't handle these dynamics is at risk of becoming unstable. In *The Prince*, the survival of the state is a key political issue. Machiavelli consistently questioned how rulers can gain, keep, and secure their political power. Political authority must be strong enough to prevent chaos and fend off external threats. Without solid authority, laws can't be enforced reliably, and political institutions can't operate effectively. Thus, the state needs political power that can ensure security. Yet, Machiavelli's idea of order evolves further in the *Discourses on Livy*. While *The Prince* focused heavily on gaining and maintaining political power, the *Discourses* shifted its attention to republican institutions, civic engagement, liberty, and the long-term stability of political communities. This shows that for Machiavelli, political order isn't just about subjects obeying their rulers.

The difference between security and liberty is crucial to understand. A political community might feel secure under a strong government, but that doesn't necessarily mean its citizens enjoy true political freedom. Machiavelli's preference for a republican system highlights a richer vision of political order, where citizens actively engage in political life and various social forces are woven into the fabric of institutional arrangements. *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* echoes this by pointing out Machiavelli's distinction between a basic order focused on security and a more comprehensive constitutional order that emphasizes liberty and political participation. For Machiavelli, laws and institutions are of great significance. Political institutions create consistent ways for authority to be exercised and for political disagreements to be resolved. A political community can't rely forever on the personal traits of a ruler. Even a wise and brave leader can't ensure the stability of a political order if the state's institutions are fragile. This idea is particularly clear in Machiavelli's analysis of Rome. The Roman Republic thrived not because there was no conflict, but because political strife was integrated into its institutional framework. The ambitions of the powerful and the people's desire to avoid oppression could be channeled through these institutions. In this sense, maintaining order didn't mean eradicating disagreement; it meant organizing it. Thus, political order in Machiavelli's view is inherently dynamic. It must adapt to ever-changing political landscapes. An institution that works well in one situation might falter in another. Both political leaders and citizens need to be able to recognize these shifts and respond accordingly. This ability is closely tied to Machiavelli's

idea of virtù, which encompasses not just moral integrity but also political skill, prudence, and courage. Machiavelli's conception of political order can consequently be understood through four connected elements: effective political authority, institutional capacity, the regulation of political conflict, and political adaptability. Order requires authority capable of enforcing law; institutions capable of regulating political interests; mechanisms capable of accommodating conflict; and leadership capable of responding to changing circumstances. Political order is thus neither pure coercion nor passive stability. It is an organised condition in which political forces are sufficiently regulated to prevent the destruction of the political community.

Political Conflict, Institution and Liberty

One of the key ideas that Machiavelli brought to the table in political thought is his perspective on political conflict. While traditional views often see conflict as a sign that political organization has failed, Machiavelli flipped that notion on its head. In his work, the *Discourses*, he points out that the clashes between the Roman nobility and the plebeians actually played a crucial role in shaping Roman liberty. So, rather than viewing the famous struggle between the "great" and the "people" as merely a threat to political stability, we should see it as something more complex. Machiavelli made a clear distinction between harmful conflict and conflict that is managed through established institutions. Conflict turns dangerous when political players can't or won't pursue their goals through legitimate means. However, when institutions offer recognized pathways for different interests to be voiced, conflict can actually breathe life into the political landscape instead of tearing it apart. This idea lies back to Machiavelli's insights into the varying political aspirations of different social groups. The elite often seek to dominate, while the common people mainly wish to avoid being dominated. This clash of desires creates tension, but it can also lay the groundwork for political checks and balances. If one group holds unchecked power, the risk of domination rises. Yet, if competing factions can keep each other in check through laws and institutional frameworks, it can ultimately enhance political freedom.

The Roman tribunes serve as a significant example of how institutional mechanisms can represent plebeian interests, effectively turning social conflict into a vital part of republican governance. Rather than eradicating conflict, it was structured into an institutional framework. The takeaway here isn't that political societies should aim for a state of constant harmony, but rather that they should develop institutions that allow for disagreements to happen without tearing apart the political community. This has crucial implications for our understanding of liberty. Machiavellian liberty goes beyond just the absence of government interference; it encompasses the ability of citizens to engage in public matters and to stand against oppression. A political system that enforces obedience while sidelining citizens from meaningful participation may seem stable on the surface, but it falls short of true republican liberty. Thus, institutions play a pivotal role in balancing conflict and order. Strong institutions don't wipe out political competition; instead, they lay down the rules that govern how that competition unfolds. Legislatures, courts, electoral bodies, political parties, local governments, civil society organizations, and independent media all serve as channels through which political interests can be expressed and debated. This institutional perspective sheds light on why Machiavelli is far more complex than the typical portrayal of him as a cold-hearted political strategist. While he acknowledges the importance of power, he also warns about the perils of unchecked authority. A ruler who wipes out all opposition might achieve a fleeting sense of peace, but in doing so, they risk undermining the very institutions that are crucial for lasting political stability.

Political order, then, hinges on finding a balance between authority and contestation. Authority is essential because a political community needs enforceable rules to function. On the flip side, contestation is vital since unchecked authority can easily slip into tyranny. Institutions serve as the framework that allows these two elements to coexist harmoniously.

The implications of this argument for modern political theory are significant. Democracy shouldn't be seen merely as the absence of conflict. Instead, it encompasses elections, legislative debates, judicial reviews, opposition politics, public critique, and activism within civil society—all of which are forms of political contestation. The real challenge lies in ensuring that this contestation is kept within institutional boundaries. Machiavelli's impact lies in reshaping our understanding of political order. It's not just about a calm political atmosphere. A society that's politically engaged can have significant disagreements yet still maintain institutional stability. On the flip side, a society might seem peaceful because dissent is stifled, but it could be hiding deep instability just below the surface. In a more robust sense, political order demands institutions that can turn conflict into legitimate political competition. This perspective is especially crucial when we look at modern African states.

Political Leadership, Virtù and Corruption

Political leadership plays a crucial role in Machiavelli's view of political order. If political leaders lack the ability or willingness to support institutions, those institutions simply can't function properly. However, Machiavelli also recognized that institutions can't rely solely on the character of individual rulers for the long haul. The connection between leadership and institutions is a two-way street: skilled leaders can build and reinforce institutions, while robust institutions can help keep harmful leadership in check. At the heart of this relationship is Machiavelli's idea of *virtù*. It's important to note that *virtù* doesn't mean virtue in the traditional Christian or moral sense. Instead, it refers to the traits that allow political figures to effectively navigate their circumstances. This includes qualities like prudence, courage, decisiveness, strategic thinking, adaptability, and the ability to spot both opportunities and threats. Political leadership demands the skill to act decisively even when the situation is uncertain. This perspective is particularly relevant for today's states, as political order often hinges on leadership choices made during crises. Leaders may face challenges like political violence, economic troubles, institutional conflicts, social rifts, or threats to constitutional governance. Being an effective leader goes beyond just being popular; it requires the ability to make decisions that protect both institutions and the political community as a whole. Yet, Machiavelli also offered insights into the risks of political leadership becoming too personal. When rulers start to equate the state with themselves, institutions can slowly turn into tools for personal gain. Public offices might transform into ways to reward political loyalty, and political competition can shift from a debate over public policies to a battle for access to state resources.

This issue is deeply tied to Machiavelli's thoughts on corruption. Corruption isn't just about individual dishonesty; it signifies a more profound decline within the political community, where personal interests overshadow public institutions and civic duty. When both citizens and political leaders stop valuing the common political framework, it leaves institutions open to manipulation. So, corruption has an institutional aspect to it. A corrupt political system isn't just filled with corrupt individuals; its very structure can create incentives that push political actors to chase personal gain. Laws might be enforced selectively, public resources could be allocated based on political loyalty, and public offices may turn into tools for patronage. Restoring political order, therefore, goes beyond just

swapping out individual officeholders. It calls for institutional reforms that can shift the incentives fueling corruption. Political leaders need to operate under institutional constraints, public officials must be held accountable, and citizens should have real ways to challenge abuses of power. Machiavelli's insights highlight a crucial link between leadership, institutions, and political order. While effective leadership is essential, relying solely on personal rule can be perilous. Political capability is important, but it should focus on upholding institutions rather than turning them into means of personal control. Political order thrives best when leadership and institutional frameworks support each other instead of working against one another.

Relevance of Machiavelli's Political Order to Contemporary African States

Machiavelli's ideas about political order are especially pertinent to today's African states, where many political issues revolve around the dynamics of authority, institutions, political conflict, leadership, and corruption. However, it's important to note that his insights shouldn't be seen as a blueprint for replicating Renaissance political practices. Instead, their true value lies in the analytical lens they offer for understanding how political order can be built and maintained. To start, Machiavelli's focus on the importance of effective institutions resonates strongly with African nations. While political constitutions may lay out frameworks for legislatures, courts, electoral commissions, local governments, and other bodies, simply having these institutions on paper doesn't ensure they function well. For political order to thrive, we need institutions that can operate independently and reliably. Machiavelli taught us that political stability hinges not just on having laws but on the ability to enforce them effectively. This aligns with the goals set forth by the African Union. Agenda 2063 emphasizes the need for capable institutions, transformative leadership, democratic governance, justice, and the rule of law as key components of Africa's envisioned political landscape. Machiavelli's political philosophy underscores the significance of these aims, illustrating that political order cannot rely solely on constitutional promises without the necessary institutional strength to back them up. Furthermore, Machiavelli's views on managing political conflict are relevant to the democratic landscape in Africa. In diverse societies, political disagreements are inevitable. Various ethnic, religious, economic, regional, generational, and ideological groups will vie for political power. Therefore, the goal of political order shouldn't be to eradicate these disagreements. Instead, we should aim to integrate them into legitimate political institutions. This becomes particularly crucial in states where political rivalry can turn intensely personal. When elections are seen as life-or-death battles, where winning means gaining unfettered access to power and losing could mean being cut off from state resources, political competition can become quite destabilizing. Machiavelli's insights suggest that we need to reshape political competition into a process that is both predictable and legitimate.

So, competitive elections, independent electoral bodies, effective legislatures, judicial review, the freedom to associate, and peaceful opposition aren't threats to political stability. When they work as they should, they actually help maintain political order. They provide competing groups with legitimate ways to pursue their political goals and lessen the temptation to achieve those goals through unconstitutional means. Additionally, Machiavelli's views on political ambition and elite control are particularly relevant to the challenges posed by political elites in modern African states. Political elites are naturally driven to seek influence, resources, and power. The real issue isn't the existence of political ambition; it's that political institutions might not be doing enough to keep it in check. A well-functioning constitutional framework should ensure that political ambition is channeled into

legitimate public competition. Tools like term limits, transparent political financing, parliamentary oversight, judicial independence, independent electoral commissions, and a free press can all act as safeguards against the rise of unchecked political power. These ties back to Machiavelli's idea that political systems need to stop any one group from gaining enough power to take over the whole political landscape. In today's African nations, this doesn't mean we have to recreate the Roman constitution. Instead, it calls for creating checks and balances that fit within the framework of modern constitutional democracy. Moreover, Machiavelli's thoughts on corruption and the decline of institutions are highly relevant to the political climate in Africa. When public institutions fall into the hands of private interests, the state's legitimacy and effectiveness take a hit. People might start seeing public office as a way to enrich themselves rather than serve the community. This shift erodes trust in the government and makes citizens less inclined to support public institutions. Thus, the need for accountability goes beyond just ethical concerns. It's a fundamental aspect of maintaining political order. When public officials are aware that their power is subject to legal and institutional oversight, they have fewer chances to misuse public authority for personal gain. Recent evidence backs up this connection. Findings from Afrobarometer show that while support for democracy remains relatively strong in the surveyed countries, there's a notable level of dissatisfaction with how democracy is functioning. Issues like corruption, lack of accountability, subpar elections, and impunity are among the top concerns. Machiavelli's insights shed light on the challenges that can undermine democratic satisfaction. His framework illustrates how failures in political institutions can pose serious threats to the stability of a political system. When these institutions falter in regulating power, citizens may start to lose faith in the entire political structure.

Moreover, Machiavelli's ideas about political leadership are still incredibly relevant today. African nations need leaders who can rise above narrow personal, ethnic, regional, or party interests. True political leadership involves the ability to uphold institutions, even when those institutions might limit the immediate benefits for those in power. This is where Machiavelli's notion of *virtù* comes into play. Effective leaders must embody qualities like prudence, adaptability, courage, and the wisdom to differentiate between short-term political gains and the long-term welfare of the community. A leader who prioritizes personal power by dismantling institutions for quick wins may end up undermining the state in the long run. The key takeaway for modern African leaders isn't to adopt a Machiavellian approach in the sense of being manipulative or ruthless. Instead, it's about assessing political leadership based on its ability to uphold and enhance the institutions that are vital for maintaining political order. Lastly, Machiavelli's views on the balance between order and liberty are crucial for democratic governance in Africa. It's important to remember that political stability shouldn't come at the expense of political freedom. A government that stifles opposition, limits political engagement, or undermines independent institutions might achieve a superficial sense of calm, but that calm could very well be a sign of repression rather than true political order. Machiavelli's ideas are incredibly relevant for Nigeria and other African nations facing political and institutional instability. Take Nigeria, for instance; it has a constitutional democracy with a range of formal political accountability institutions; but how effective are these institutions when it comes to actual practice? The challenge isn't just about creating new institutions; it's about strengthening the ones we already have so they can truly fulfill their constitutional roles.

This ineffectiveness of political institutions resonates throughout the African

continent as well. African nations are remarkably diverse, each boasting its own unique history, political systems, economies, social structures, and democratic experiences. It wouldn't be fair to generalize the entire continent with a single political perspective. Yet, Machiavelli's core insight remains relevant: a stable political order hinges on institutions that can manage political interests and prevent the dangerous concentration of power that threatens the political community. In essence, Machiavelli's perspective on political order can be boiled down to a crucial idea: African political stability doesn't hinge on eradicating political conflict or unchecked competition. Instead, it requires institutions capable of transforming conflict and competition into legitimate, predictable, and constructive political processes. This might just be the most vital lesson that Machiavelli's political philosophy offers to modern Africa. The relevance of Machiavelli's conception therefore, isn't about offering a one-size-fits-all political blueprint but rather about inspiring a thoughtful exploration of how political power and institutional order interact. When political ambition is kept in check by robust institutions, conflict can be directed through legitimate channels, leadership can prioritize the public good, and corruption can be curbed. In such an environment, political order is much more likely to thrive. On the flip side, when these elements are missing, political authority becomes vulnerable.

Limiting Factors to Political Order in Contemporary African States

While Machiavelli's ideas on political order offer some valuable insights, the reality in contemporary African states presents unique challenges that can hinder the establishment and upkeep of political order. These challenges vary across the continent, and it's important not to pin African political issues on a single cause. However, there are several recurring themes that warrant special attention. First up is institutional fragility. You might find that political institutions exist on paper, but they often lack the necessary resources, independence, professional expertise, or political autonomy to function effectively. As a result, courts, legislatures, electoral bodies, public administrations, and regulatory agencies may struggle to enforce rules consistently. When these institutions can't fulfill their constitutional roles, political actors are more likely to sidestep them. This fragility also breeds uncertainty. Citizens may be left wondering if legal rules will be applied fairly, while political elites might decide that personal connections and influence matter more than following formal procedures. This unpredictability undermines the stability that political order relies on. The second key factor is the prevalence of patronage and personalized politics. In environments where access to state resources is closely tied to political loyalty, competition can devolve into a battle for control over these resources. Political parties may turn into tools for elite mobilization rather than platforms for presenting diverse policy ideas. Consequently, public appointments might be swayed more by political loyalty than by actual competence. Such arrangements can really undermine the process of institutionalization because personal loyalty often takes precedence over loyalty to the rules laid out in the constitution. This can make political transitions particularly shaky, as changes in government might be seen as shifts in who gets access to economic and political opportunities.

The third factor at play is corruption. Corruption chips away at political order by eroding trust in public institutions and misdirecting public resources from their intended uses. It can also lead to unequal access to political power, where wealthy individuals and organized groups gain advantages that regular citizens simply don't have. So, corruption has effects that go beyond just economic inefficiency. It can seriously damage the legitimacy of political institutions. When people frequently encounter bribery, a lack of accountability, selective enforcement, or the misuse of public resources, they may start to view state institutions as

tools for private gain rather than as representatives of public authority. The fourth factor is electoral manipulation and the challenges that come with contested political transitions. Elections are meant to be peaceful ways to determine who holds political power. However, when electoral institutions lack credibility or the processes are seen as unfair, elections can actually heighten political instability instead of resolving it. Disputed election results can really shake people's trust in constitutional politics and push political players to look for ways outside the established system. The real issue isn't the competition itself; it's the lack of reliable methods to manage that competition effectively. Next up is the fifth factor: socioeconomic inequality and poverty. You can't completely separate political order from the living conditions of citizens. When inequality runs rampant, it can spark political grievances, erode trust in institutions, and create an environment where political elites can easily rally vulnerable groups through patronage or appeals based on identity. Poverty can also limit citizens' ability to engage in political life. Those who are struggling to meet their basic needs might find themselves more susceptible to political incentives and less capable of holding public officials accountable. So, for a stable political order, we need not just effective political institutions but also social conditions that allow for genuine citizenship.

The sixth factor is weak accountability. Political institutions become fragile when public officials can wield power without proper oversight. Accountability goes beyond just having elections every few years. Legislatures, courts, oversight agencies, journalists, civil society groups, and citizens all need real chances to examine and question public authority. The significance of accountability is evident in current African public opinion. According to Afrobarometer's 2024 flagship findings, citizens still back democratic ideals but are worried about how political institutions are performing, especially regarding accountability and the integrity of elections. This points to the fact that to strengthen political order, we need to focus not just on the democratic framework but also on how well accountability institutions are functioning. The seventh factor to consider is the political and social fragmentation present in Africa. The continent is home to a rich tapestry of ethnic, linguistic, religious, regional, and socioeconomic diversity. While diversity itself isn't inherently a threat to political stability, it can become a challenge when political institutions fail to create inclusive ways to manage these differences. Additionally, when political elites exploit social identities for their own gain, it exacerbates the situation. The solution isn't to erase this diversity but rather to build political institutions that can effectively handle it. Approaches like federalism, decentralization, power-sharing, inclusive political representation, constitutional rights, and independent institutions can offer peaceful avenues for managing these differences when applied appropriately. Moreover, a weak commitment to constitutional rules is a significant barrier to political order. The stability of a political system relies on the belief that political actors will adhere to the established rules regarding how power is obtained and exercised. When constitutional provisions are twisted to prolong political tenure, suppress opposition, or weaken institutional checks, it undermines the credibility of the constitutional framework. These challenges highlight that the political order in modern African states can't be understood just by looking at the quality of individual leaders. Factors like institutional strength, socioeconomic conditions, electoral processes, and constitutional integrity all play a crucial role in shaping political outcomes. To achieve lasting political order, there needs to be a comprehensive effort towards strengthening institutions, ensuring accountable leadership, fostering credible political competition, and promoting social inclusion. It's important to note that these factors shouldn't be seen as universal traits of all African nations. The continent is incredibly diverse, showcasing examples of strong institutions,

peaceful political transitions, democratic growth, and efficient public administration. The goal of highlighting these factors is more about diagnosis than stereotypes; they point to recurring issues that can undermine political stability when they become deeply rooted.

Conclusion

In this article, we've explored Machiavelli's views on political order and how they relate to modern African states. We've argued that Machiavelli's perspective is much more nuanced than the common perception of him as just a proponent of ruthless power. While the importance of political authority and law enforcement is clear in his work, especially in *The Prince*, his *Discourses on Livy* present a wider view that includes institutions, political conflict, civic engagement, freedom, and the fight against corruption as key elements for the longevity of political communities. We've demonstrated that Machiavelli doesn't see political conflict as inherently at odds with political order. When managed properly, conflict can actually enhance political freedom by preventing any one group from dominating and ensuring that various interests have legitimate channels for expression. This understanding is particularly relevant for today's African states, where political competition shouldn't be viewed as a threat to order just because it leads to disagreements. The main challenge is to make sure that these disagreements occur within trustworthy political and constitutional frameworks. Thus, Machiavelli's relevance to contemporary Africa primarily lies in his focus on institutional strength, the management of political ambition, effective leadership, and the interplay between political order and freedom. These themes resonate significantly with current issues facing the continent. At the same time, the quest for political order in modern African nations faces significant hurdles, including weak institutions, corruption, patronage politics, electoral fraud, socioeconomic disparities, lack of accountability, political fragmentation, and a failure to adhere to constitutional norms. It's important to recognize that these issues shouldn't be seen as universal traits of African politics, but rather as persistent challenges that can undermine political institutions when they become deeply rooted.

The main point of the article is that achieving lasting political order goes beyond just having a stable government. A state might silence opposition and create a temporary sense of calm, but that doesn't equate to true political order. For a strong political order to exist, there needs to be institutions that can wield authority while still being held in check by the law; political competition that allows for disagreement without leading to chaos; leaders who can adapt to changing situations without turning public institutions into tools for personal gain; and citizens who can engage meaningfully in the political process. While Machiavelli's political theories can't fully explain contemporary African democracy due to the vastly different historical and political backdrop from which they arose, his insights into power, institutions, conflict, leadership, and corruption still hold significant relevance. When thoughtfully adapted rather than rigidly applied, his ideas offer a valuable framework for understanding how African states can turn political competition into stable institutions and transform political authority into a legitimate and lasting order. The key takeaway is not that African nations need stronger rulers, but rather that they need political institutions robust enough to manage power, flexible enough to allow for legitimate conflict, and accountable enough to maintain a shared public order within the political community.

References

- African Union. 2015. *Agenda 2063: The Africa We Want*. Addis Ababa: African Union.
- Afrobarometer. 2024. *African Insights 2024: Democracy at Risk – The People's Perspective*.

- Accra: Afrobarometer.
- Machiavelli, Niccolò. 1998. *The Prince*. Translated by Harvey C. Mansfield. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Machiavelli, Niccolò. 1996. *Discourses on Livy*. Translated by Harvey C. Mansfield and Nathan Tarcov. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Machiavelli, Niccolò. 1988. *The Art of War*. Translated by Christopher Lynch. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Pettit, Philip. 1997. *Republicanism: A Theory of Freedom and Government*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Pocock, J. G. A. 1975. *The Machiavellian Moment: Florentine Political Thought and the Atlantic Republican Tradition*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Skinner, Quentin. 2002. *Visions of Politics, Volume II: Renaissance Virtues*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Skinner, Quentin. 1978. *The Foundations of Modern Political Thought, Volume I: The Renaissance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Viroli, Maurizio. 1998. *Machiavelli*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.