



JOURNAL OF PHILOSOPHY, POLICY AND STRATEGIC STUDIES

Volume 2, Number 2 (June, 2026)

ISSN: 1595-9457 (online); 3043-4211 (print)

Website: <https://jppssuniuyo.com/> Email: jppssuniuyo@gmail.com

Received: June 02, 2026 Accepted: June 18, 2026 Published: June 30, 2026

Citation: Samuel, Idongesit O. & Edet, Eno K. "Public Policy Implementation and Challenges in Nigeria."
Journal of Philosophy, Policy and Strategic Studies, 2 (2): 1-9.

Article

Open Access

PUBLIC POLICY IMPLEMENTATION AND CHALLENGES IN NIGERIA

Idongesit Okon Samuel¹ & Eno Kingsley Edet²

Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Uyo, Uyo^{1&2}

Corresponding Email: enoedet7@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examined public policy implementation and its challenges in Nigeria. The study was motivated by persistent gap between policy formulation and actual implementation outcomes. The objectives of the study were to explore the concept of public policy implementation, assess how policies are implemented in Nigeria, and identify the major challenges affecting effective implementation. The study adopted a qualitative research approach based on the review of relevant literature, including textbooks, journal articles, government reports, and other secondary sources. The analysis revealed that public policy implementation in Nigeria was influenced by several institutional, political, financial, and administrative factors. It was found that inadequate funding, corruption, bureaucratic bottlenecks, political instability, weak institutional capacity, shortage of skilled personnel, poor monitoring and evaluation systems, inadequate data management, political interference, and lack of public participation significantly affected the successful implementation of public policies. The findings further showed that although various policies had been introduced to address socio-economic challenges in Nigeria, many of them failed to achieve their intended objectives due to weak implementation structures and lack of continuity in governance. The study concluded that effective policy implementation was essential for achieving sustainable development and improving public service delivery in Nigeria. Based on the findings, the study recommended increased funding, strengthening institutions, improving accountability mechanisms, enhanced monitoring and permissive systems, and greater stakeholder participation as key strategies for improving policy implementation in Nigeria.

Copyright © 2026 By JPPSS. Publishers: Omega Books

This is an open access article which permits unrestricted use provided the work is properly cited.

Keywords: Public Policy, Policy Formulation, Policy Implementation, Challenges, Nigeria.

Introduction

Public policy is a deliberate course of action adopted by government institutions to address societal problems and achieve specific developmental objectives. It encompasses decisions, plans, laws, and programmes formulated by public authorities to promote the welfare of citizens and ensure effective governance. Public policy implementation refers to the process through which government decisions and policies are translated into practical actions and outcomes. It involves the mobilization of resources, coordination of institutions, and execution of programmes aimed at achieving predetermined policy goals (Anderson, 2015). Policy implementation is widely regarded as a critical stage in the policy process because the success or failure of any public policy largely depends on how effectively it is executed. According to Dye (2017), even the most well-designed policies can fail if implementation mechanisms are weak or ineffective. Consequently, governments across the world place significant emphasis on ensuring that policies are properly implemented to achieve desired socio-economic and political outcomes.

In Nigeria, public policy implementation has remained a major concern despite the formulation of numerous policies aimed at promoting national development. Since independence in 1960, successive governments have introduced various policies and programmes in sectors such as education, health, agriculture, infrastructure, poverty reduction, and economic development. Examples include the Universal Basic Education (UBE) Programme, National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (NEEDS), Vision 20:2020, Economic Recovery and Growth Plan (ERGP), and various agricultural transformation initiatives. While these policies were designed to address pressing national challenges, many have not achieved their intended objectives due to implementation shortcomings. The challenges of public policy implementation in Nigeria have attracted considerable attention among scholars and policymakers. Poor implementation has been linked to factors such as corruption, inadequate funding, bureaucratic inefficiency, political instability, weak institutional capacity, policy inconsistency, and inadequate monitoring and evaluation mechanisms (Nwachukwu, 2020). These challenges often result in a wide gap between policy intentions and actual outcomes, thereby limiting the government's ability to deliver public goods and services effectively. This study therefore examines public policy implementation and its challenges in Nigeria with a view to identifying the factors responsible for implementation failures and suggesting measures for enhancing policy effectiveness and sustainable development. The broad objective of this study is to examine public policy implementation and its challenges in Nigeria. The specific objectives are to:

- i. examine the concept and process of public policy implementation in Nigeria;
- ii. identify the major challenges affecting public policy implementation in Nigeria;
- iii. recommend strategies for improving public policy implementation and enhancing policy outcomes in Nigeria.

Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative research design. The qualitative approach was considered appropriate because the study sought to examine public policy implementation and its challenges in Nigeria through an in-depth review and interpretation of existing literature rather than the collection of numerical data. The study relied on secondary sources of data. The method of data analysis adopted for the study was thematic content analysis. This method involved the systematic review, categorization, and interpretation of information

obtained from the various documentary sources. Relevant data were organized into major themes based on the objectives of the study. This approach was considered suitable because it facilitated a comprehensive understanding of policy implementation issues and provided a basis for drawing conclusions and recommendations regarding public policy implementation in Nigeria.

Concept of Public Policy

Public policy refers to the actions, decisions, and programmes adopted by government authorities to address societal issues and achieve specific objectives. It encompasses laws, regulations, plans, and courses of action designed to promote public welfare and guide governmental activities. Public policy serves as a framework through which governments respond to the needs and demands of citizens while ensuring social order, economic development, and political stability. According to Dye (2017), public policy is "whatever governments choose to do or not to do." This definition emphasizes that government inaction can be as significant as government action in influencing societal outcomes. Similarly, Anderson (2015) defines public policy as a purposive course of action followed by an actor or set of actors in dealing with a problem or matter of concern. This suggests that public policy is deliberate and goal-oriented rather than random or accidental.

Public policy can be viewed from different perspectives. From the political perspective, it represents the decisions and actions of government aimed at satisfying public interests. From the administrative perspective, it involves the implementation of government decisions through public institutions and agencies. Economically, public policy seeks to allocate resources efficiently to achieve developmental goals and improve citizens' welfare. Public policies may be categorized into distributive, redistributive, regulatory, and constituent policies. Distributive policies involve the allocation of resources and services to specific groups or sectors. Redistributive policies seek to reduce inequalities by transferring resources from one group to another. Regulatory policies are designed to control the behaviour of individuals and organizations, while constituent policies focus on the establishment and organization of governmental institutions (Lowi, 1972).

Public Policy Implementation

Public policy implementation refers to the process of putting government decisions and policies into action to achieve their intended objectives. It is the stage in the policy process where formulated policies are translated into concrete programmes, projects, and activities. Policy implementation involves the mobilization of human, financial, and material resources as well as the coordination of relevant institutions responsible for executing policy decisions. Mazmanian and Sabatier (1983) described policy implementation as the carrying out of a basic policy decision, usually incorporated in a statute, executive order, or formal governmental directive. Similarly, Pressman and Wildavsky (1984) argued that implementation is the process of interaction between the setting of goals and the actions undertaken to achieve them.

Policy implementation is considered one of the most critical stages of the policy cycle because the success of any policy depends largely on how effectively it is executed. A well-formulated policy may fail if there are deficiencies in implementation mechanisms. Conversely, effective implementation can enhance the achievement of policy objectives and contribute to national development. Several actors participate in policy implementation, including government ministries, departments, agencies, public servants, political office holders, private organizations, non-governmental organizations, and citizens. These actors

perform various functions such as resource allocation, programme coordination, service delivery, monitoring, and evaluation.

Policy Formulation and Implementation Process

Public policy formulation and implementation constitute interconnected stages in the policy cycle. Policy formulation involves identifying societal problems and developing appropriate strategies to address them, while policy implementation focuses on executing the adopted policies. Although different scholars present slight variations, the policy process generally consists of the following stages (Anderson, 2015; Howlett, Ramesh, & Perl, 2020).

- i. **Problem Identification:** The policy process begins with the identification of a public problem requiring government intervention. Problems such as poverty, unemployment, insecurity, poor healthcare, and inadequate infrastructure may emerge through public complaints, media reports, academic research, government statistics, or stakeholder advocacy. Effective problem identification ensures that policymakers focus on issues of public concern and establish the basis for policy development (Anderson, 2015; Dye, 2017).
- ii. **Agenda Setting:** After a problem has been identified, it is placed on the government's agenda for consideration. Agenda setting determines which issues receive priority attention from policymakers. Political leaders, interest groups, civil society organizations, public opinion, and the media significantly influence this stage by drawing attention to particular policy issues (Howlett et al., 2020).
- iii. **Policy Formulation:** At this stage, policymakers develop alternative solutions to the identified problem. Government officials, policy analysts, experts, consultants, and relevant stakeholders evaluate policy options by considering their costs, benefits, feasibility, and likely consequences before selecting the most appropriate alternative (Anderson, 2015; Dunn, 2018).
- iv. **Policy Adoption:** Policy adoption involves the formal approval of a proposed policy by the appropriate governmental authority. Depending on the nature of the policy, adoption may occur through legislation enacted by the legislature, executive decisions, cabinet approvals, or administrative directives. This stage gives legal and institutional authority for implementation (Dye, 2017; Howlett et al., 2020).
- v. **Policy Implementation:** Policy implementation is the stage where approved policies are translated into action. Government ministries, departments, and agencies are assigned responsibilities for executing policy programmes. Financial resources are allocated, personnel are deployed, operational guidelines are developed, and administrative structures are coordinated to ensure successful implementation (Hill & Hupe, 2022).
- vi. **Monitoring and Evaluation:** Monitoring and evaluation involve assessing the progress and effectiveness of policy implementation. Monitoring focuses on tracking activities, resource utilization, and outputs, while evaluation examines whether policy objectives and intended outcomes have been achieved. Findings from monitoring and evaluation provide evidence for improving policy performance and accountability (Dunn, 2018; Rossi, Lipsey, & Henry, 2019).
- vii. **Policy Review or Termination:** Based on evaluation findings, a policy may be revised, expanded, maintained, or terminated. Policy review ensures that government programmes remain effective, relevant, and responsive to changing societal needs and emerging challenges. Since public policy operates as a continuous cycle rather than a linear process, feedback generated during implementation and evaluation frequently

informs subsequent policy decisions and reforms (Anderson, 2015; Dye, 2017; Howlett et al., 2020).

Empirical Review

Several empirical studies have examined public policy implementation and its associated challenges in Nigeria. These studies provide evidence that policy failure in Nigeria is often linked to poor implementation rather than poor policy formulation. Paul (2024) examined the challenges and strategies for the implementation of public policies by bureaucrats in Nigeria. The study adopted a documentary research design and relied on secondary sources of data. Findings revealed that ineffective political leadership, corruption within the public bureaucracy, political interference, and weak administrative structures significantly hinder policy implementation in Nigeria. The study concluded that effective policy implementation requires responsible political leadership and a professional bureaucracy capable of operating according to established administrative principles. Manjo (2024) investigated the issues and challenges hampering effective implementation of public policies in Nigeria using a qualitative approach based on secondary data. The study found that frequent policy discontinuity, lack of commitment by successive governments, corruption, and weak institutional frameworks were major factors responsible for implementation failures. The study further revealed that many government programmes are abandoned before achieving their objectives because incoming administrations often replace existing policies with new initiatives.

Onimisi and Osasona (2024) assessed strategies for effective policy implementation in Nigeria using the Federal Character Principle as a case study. The study employed a qualitative methodology and found that inadequate monitoring mechanisms, weak enforcement structures, and lack of political commitment affected the implementation of the policy. The researchers recommended strengthening institutional oversight and ensuring greater accountability among public officials to improve policy outcomes. Kama, Chabla, and Ezra (2024) examined the problems and challenges of policy implementation for national development in Nigeria between 2020 and 2024. Using secondary data analysis, the study found that corruption, poor coordination among government agencies, inadequate funding, and weak political commitment were major obstacles to effective policy implementation. The study concluded that successful implementation requires transparency, proper allocation of responsibilities, and sustained political support. Akporehe, Comfort, and Egho (2024) investigated policy implementation problems within the Nigerian educational sector. Using a descriptive survey design involving secondary school principals, the study revealed that inadequate funding, shortage of qualified personnel, poor supervision, and insufficient monitoring mechanisms negatively affected educational policy implementation. The study recommended enhanced government support and improved administrative capacity to achieve educational objectives.

Abdallah, Abdulrazak, and Abdulqadeer (2025) examined public policy implementation in Nigeria and the challenges confronting effective policy execution. The study utilized a qualitative research approach based on content analysis of secondary data. Findings indicated that inadequate funding, weak stakeholder participation, poor governance practices, ethnic and political tensions, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and weak feedback mechanisms significantly affect policy implementation. The study recommended strengthening institutional capacity, promoting transparency, and encouraging citizen participation in policy processes. Falade and Osho (2025) analyzed the implementation of Nigeria's cybersecurity policies and strategies. Using qualitative methods, the study found

that weak enforcement mechanisms, inadequate institutional coordination, shortage of skilled personnel, and resource constraints limited the effectiveness of cybersecurity policy implementation. The researchers emphasized the need for improved accountability systems and capacity building to achieve policy objectives in the digital sector. Omoju, Ikhede, Olanrele, Abeng, Petreski, Adebayo, Odigie, and Muhammed (2023) conducted an empirical review of youth-employment policies in Nigeria using mixed research methods. The study found that poor coordination, inadequate funding, weak implementation capacity, insufficient oversight, and lack of political inclusiveness undermined the effectiveness of youth-employment programmes. The study concluded that stronger institutional frameworks and long-term development planning are essential for successful policy implementation.

Challenges of Public Policy Implementation in Nigeria

Public policy implementation in Nigeria has remained a major concern due to persistent structural, institutional, and political constraints. Although governments at various levels continue to formulate policies aimed at promoting development, the outcomes of such policies are often limited by implementation challenges. Scholars have widely noted that the gap between policy formulation and implementation in Nigeria is largely responsible for weak public service delivery and poor developmental outcomes (Ikelegbe, 2013; Nwachukwu, 2020). The review of literature revealed other challenges to include:

- i. **Inadequate Funding:** Inadequate funding remains one of the most critical constraints to effective policy implementation in Nigeria. Public policies require sufficient financial resources for execution, monitoring, and evaluation. However, limited budgetary allocations, delays in fund release, and competing national priorities often hinder implementation processes (Ozor, 2021). Many government programmes are either partially implemented or abandoned due to financial constraints. This situation is worsened by poor budget implementation practices, which reduce the effectiveness of development plans. As a result, policy goals are rarely fully achieved.
- ii. **Corruption and Mismanagement of Public Resources:** Corruption significantly undermines public policy implementation in Nigeria. According to Transparency International (2023), corruption in the public sector reduces the efficiency of government spending and distorts development priorities. Funds meant for policy execution are often misappropriated through inflated contracts, embezzlement, and diversion of resources. This mismanagement weakens institutional capacity and leads to project abandonment and poor service delivery. Scholars such as Nwachukwu (2020) argued that corruption is one of the most persistent barriers to effective governance in Nigeria.
- iii. **Political Instability and Policy Discontinuity:** Political instability and frequent changes in government contribute to policy discontinuity in Nigeria. Successive administrations often abandon or modify existing policies introduced by previous governments, regardless of their developmental value (Dike, 2022). This lack of continuity disrupts long-term planning and leads to wastage of public resources. Development projects that require sustained implementation are particularly affected, resulting in incomplete or ineffective outcomes.
- iv. **Bureaucratic Bottlenecks:** The Nigerian public service is characterized by complex administrative procedures that slow down decision-making and implementation processes. Excessive bureaucracy, overlapping responsibilities, and rigid hierarchies often delay policy execution (Obi & Okeke, 2020). These bottlenecks reduce efficiency in

- procurement, project approval, and service delivery. Consequently, government programmes experience delays that negatively affect their overall impact.
- v. **Weak Institutional Capacity:** Weak institutional capacity remains a major challenge in Nigeria's public sector. Many government institutions lack adequate infrastructure, coordination mechanisms, and managerial efficiency required for effective policy implementation (World Bank, 2022). Institutional weaknesses often result in poor planning, weak supervision, and ineffective execution of government programmes. Without strong institutions, policy objectives are difficult to achieve.
 - vi. **Poor Monitoring and Evaluation Systems:** Monitoring and evaluation (M&E) systems in Nigeria are often weak and poorly coordinated. Many government agencies lack standardized performance indicators and reliable data collection systems for assessing policy outcomes (UNDP, 2021). Without effective M&E mechanisms, it becomes difficult to track progress, identify challenges, and make necessary adjustments during implementation. This contributes to poor accountability and weak policy performance.
 - vii. **Inadequate Data and Information Management:** Reliable data is essential for evidence-based policymaking and implementation. However, Nigeria faces significant challenges in data collection, storage, and utilization (National Bureau of Statistics, 2022). Outdated and inconsistent data often lead to poor planning and inefficient allocation of resources. This affects the accuracy and effectiveness of policy implementation processes.
 - viii. **Political Interference:** Political interference in administrative processes undermines professionalism and efficiency in policy implementation. Political actors often influence decisions on project execution, recruitment, and resource allocation for personal or partisan interests (Adebayo, 2021). Such interference weakens institutional autonomy and distorts development priorities, thereby reducing the effectiveness of public policies.

Public policy implementation in Nigeria is constrained by multiple interrelated challenges, including inadequate funding, corruption, political instability, bureaucratic inefficiencies, weak institutions, shortage of skilled personnel, poor monitoring systems, inadequate data management, political interference, and limited public participation. Addressing these challenges is essential for improving governance and achieving sustainable national development (Ikelegbe, 2013; World Bank, 2022).

Summary of Findings

This study examined public policy implementation and its challenges in Nigeria. The study established that public policy implementation is a critical stage in the policy process because it determines the extent to which government objectives are achieved. Although various policies and programmes have been formulated in Nigeria to address socio-economic and political issues, the implementation outcomes have often been weak and inconsistent. The study identified several factors affecting effective policy implementation in Nigeria. These include inadequate funding, corruption and mismanagement of public resources, political instability and policy discontinuity, bureaucratic bottlenecks, weak institutional capacity, shortage of skilled personnel, poor monitoring and evaluation systems, inadequate data management, political interference, and limited public participation. These challenges collectively contribute to the gap between policy formulation and actual implementation outcomes. Empirical evidence reviewed in the study further confirmed that implementation failure is a major barrier to national development in Nigeria. Most studies agree that even well-designed policies fail due to weak administrative structures, lack of accountability, and insufficient political commitment.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, it is concluded that public policy implementation in Nigeria remains largely ineffective due to a combination of institutional, financial, political, and administrative challenges. The success of public policies is not determined solely by their formulation but largely by the strength of implementation mechanisms. The persistent failure of policies to achieve intended objectives has contributed to poor service delivery, slow socio-economic development, and declining public trust in government institutions. Therefore, unless these implementation challenges are adequately addressed, the effectiveness of public policies in Nigeria will continue to be limited. Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are made:

- i. Government should increase and ensure timely release of adequate funding for policy implementation to prevent project abandonment and delays.
- ii. Strong anti-corruption measures should be enforced to ensure transparency and accountability in the management of public resources.
- iii. Policies should be designed with continuity in mind, and successive governments should ensure consistency in development programmes regardless of political transitions.
- iv. Bureaucratic procedures should be simplified to reduce delays in decision-making and improve efficiency in policy execution.
- v. Institutional capacity should be strengthened through reforms, improved infrastructure, and enhanced coordination among government agencies.

References

- Abdallah, M., Abdulrazak, A. D., and Abdulqadeer, U. J. (2025). Implementation of public policies in Nigeria: Issues, challenges and ways forward. *FUDMA International Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(1).
- Adebayo, A. (2021). Political interference and public service delivery in Nigeria. *Journal of Public Administration*, 9(2), 45–58.
- Akporehe, D., Comfort, O., and Egoh, B. (2024). Principles and problems of policy implementation reconsiderations for effective secondary school administration. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 18(1), 228–235.
- Anderson, J. E. (2015). *Public policymaking: An introduction* (8th ed.). Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.
- Anderson, J. E. (2015). *Public policymaking: An introduction* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Dike, V. (2022). Policy continuity and governance challenges in Nigeria. *African Journal of Political Science*, 14(1), 33–49.
- Dunn, W. N. (2018). *Public policy analysis: An integrated approach* (6th ed.). Routledge.
- Dye, T. R. (2017). *Understanding public policy* (15th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson Education.
- Falade, P. V., and Osho, O. (2025). Nigeria's digital sovereignty: Analysis of cybersecurity legislation, policies, and strategies.
- Hill, M., and Hupe, P. (2022). *Implementing public policy: An introduction to the study of operational governance* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Howlett, M., Ramesh, M., and Perl, A. (2020). *Studying public policy: Principles and processes* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Ikelegbe, A. O. (2013). *Public policy analysis: Concepts, issues and cases*. Lagos: Imprint Services.
- Kama, S. Y., Chabla, C., and Ezra, N. (2024). Problems and challenges of policy implementation for national development (2020–2024). *Jalingo Historical Review*, 9(1&2).

- Lowi, T. J. (1972). Four systems of policy, politics and choice. *Public Administration Review*, 32(4), 298–310.
- Manjo, Y. G. (2024). Contending issues and challenges hampering effective implementation of public policies in Nigeria: A practical perspective. *Interdisciplinary Journal of African & Asian Studies*, 10(1).
- Mazmanian, D. A., and Sabatier, P. A. (1983). *Implementation and public policy*. Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman.
- National Bureau of Statistics (NBS). (2022). *Statistical data report on governance and development in Nigeria*. Abuja: NBS.
- Nwachukwu, C. C. (2020). Public policy implementation and governance challenges in Nigeria. *International Journal of Public Administration and Management Research*, 6(3), 45–56.
- Obi, C., and Okeke, M. (2020). Bureaucratic inefficiency and public sector performance in Nigeria. *Journal of Administrative Studies*, 8(1), 21–37.
- Ojo, S. (2021). Human resource capacity and public sector performance in Nigeria. *Development Policy Review Journal*, 11(2), 60–74.
- Olowu, D. (2020). Citizen participation and governance in Africa. *Public Administration and Development*, 40(3), 150–162.
- Omoju, O. E., Ikhide, E. E., Olanrele, I. A., Abeng, L. E., Petreski, M., Adebayo, F. O., Odigie, I., and Muhammed, A. M. (2023). Empirical review of youth-employment policies in Nigeria.
- Onimisi, T., and Osasona, S. H. (2024). Strategies for effective policy implementation in Nigeria: A case of Federal Character Principle. *Journal of Governance and Development*, 20(1).
- Ozor, N. (2021). Financing public policies in developing economies: The Nigerian experience. *Journal of Development Studies*, 12(4), 88–102.
- Paul, A. (2024). Challenges and strategies for the implementation of public policies by bureaucrats in the Federal Republic of Nigeria. *International Journal of Intellectual Discourse*, 7(1), 308–322.
- Pressman, J. L., and Wildavsky, A. (1984). *Implementation* (3rd ed.). Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Rossi, P. H., Lipsey, M. W., and Henry, G. T. (2019). *Evaluation: A systematic approach* (8th ed.). Sage.
- Transparency International. (2023). *Corruption perceptions index report*. Berlin: Transparency International.
- UNDP. (2021). *Strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems in public sector governance*. New York: United Nations Development Programme.
- World Bank. (2022). *Nigeria public sector governance review*. Washington, DC: World Bank.