



JOURNAL OF PHILOSOPHY, POLICY AND STRATEGIC STUDIES

Volume 2, Number 1 (March, 2026)

ISSN: 1595-9457 (online); 3043-4211 (print)

Website: <https://jppssuniuyo.com/> Email: jppssuniuyo@gmail.com

Received: February 12, 2026 Accepted: March 16, 2026 Published: March 31, 2026

Citation: Salifu, Gabriel & Olulowo, Segun A. (2026). "The Moral Architecture of the Good Life: A Philosophical-Theological Reassessment of Christian Virtue Ethics in a Pluralistic World." *Journal of Philosophy, Policy and Strategic Studies*, 2 (1): 74-84.

Article

Open Access

THE MORAL ARCHITECTURE OF THE GOOD LIFE: A PHILOSOPHICAL-THEOLOGICAL REASSESSMENT OF CHRISTIAN VIRTUE ETHICS IN A PLURALISTIC WORLD

Gabriel Salifu¹ & **Segun Ayotunde Olulowo**²

Department of Religious Studies and Philosophy, Redeemer University, Ede, Osun State Nigeria¹

Department of Religious Studies, University of Lagos²

Orcid ID: 0009-0008-5703-5243²

Corresponding Email: segunolulowo@gmail.com²

Abstract

Moral pluralism presents significant challenges for individuals and communities striving to navigate diverse ethical frameworks while maintaining coherent moral identities. This study offers a novel interdisciplinary reassessment of Christian virtue ethics, integrating philosophical analysis and theological reflection to address contemporary pluralistic contexts. Drawing on Aristotelian virtue theory and Thomistic insights, particularly the concept of *infused virtues*, it highlights how moral character is shaped through habitual practice, emotional formation, and divine grace. Employing a normative-conceptual methodology, central concepts such as virtue, flourishing, and character are clarified, while dialogue with secular virtue ethicists identifies points of convergence and tension. Findings demonstrate that Christian virtue ethics provides a morally coherent, socially responsive framework that bridges personal character formation and public responsibility. By proposing an integrated philosophical-theological model, this study distinguishes itself from previous research and contributes to ongoing debates on virtue ethics, demonstrating how virtues can guide ethical action, inform policy, and cultivate resilient, flourishing communities in morally diverse societies.

Keywords: Christian Virtue Ethics, Moral Pluralism, Character Formation, Infused Virtues, Flourishing

Copyright © 2026 By JPPSS. Publishers: Omega Books

This is an open access article which permits unrestricted use provided the work is properly cited.

Introduction

In a pluralistic world, many people find themselves navigating conflicting values without a stable moral anchor. Wong (2023) describes this as a “bounded plurality,” where different yet internally coherent moral systems arise from diverse traditions rather than from a single universal ethic. Such fragmentation erodes shared moral narratives, making deep disagreement not only common but embedded in the very structure of contemporary society. Allegri (2022) reinforces this point by arguing that pluralism does not require a strict ranking of values; instead, multiple moral principles can coexist even when they conflict, leaving individuals to exercise judgment amid ambiguity. For Christians, this landscape creates a pressing challenge: their moral vision must communicate meaningfully within a diverse ethical field while remaining grounded in a theological understanding of virtue. Without this anchoring narrative, Christian virtue ethics risks marginalisation within broader secular discourse. Amid these tensions, virtue ethics offers renewed relevance because it focuses on shaping character rather than enforcing rules. Zagzebski (2023) notes that virtue ethics shifts attention from duties and rights to human flourishing, providing a constructive alternative to the adversarial tone of contemporary moral debates. Research also suggests that cultivating virtues supports personal resilience and emotional well-being. Sultana (2025), for example, demonstrates that traits such as courage, compassion, and practical wisdom equip individuals to thrive even in chaotic settings. Beyond the personal sphere, virtue-based reasoning has significant implications for legal and public decision-making, encouraging judgments that integrate emotion, perception, and moral insight rather than rigid rule application.

For Christians, however, the pluralistic setting raises distinctive questions that current scholarship has not fully resolved. Secular philosophical studies often treat virtue ethics independently of theological commitments, while theological research tends to focus on moral formation, grace, and liturgy without engaging contemporary moral diversity. Few works explicitly integrate these strands to reassess Christian virtue ethics within today’s pluralistic moral environment. This paper fills that gap by offering an interdisciplinary framework that connects philosophical clarity, theological depth, and practical relevance, enabling Christian virtue ethics to speak meaningfully across diverse moral worlds. The primary aim of this study is threefold: (1) to critically examine the relevance of Christian virtue ethics in morally pluralistic societies; (2) to integrate insights from philosophical and theological traditions regarding character formation, practical wisdom, and moral flourishing; and (3) to propose a coherent moral architecture that guides virtuous living in contemporary contexts. From these aims, the following research questions emerge:

- i. How can Christian virtue ethics maintain authority and relevance in pluralistic settings?
- ii. Which philosophical and theological resources best support moral character cultivation?
- iii. What practical implications arise from a renewed, virtue-centered framework in both public and ecclesial life?

These questions are addressed through the following objectives: to clarify key conceptual terms, critically evaluate secular and Christian virtue ethics, and propose an integrated model of moral formation suited to pluralistic societies. This study is significant both academically and practically. Academically, it bridges a notable gap by synthesizing philosophical virtue theory with Christian theological accounts of moral formation, providing a cohesive framework for evaluating moral character in diverse ethical contexts (Pelser & Cleveland, 2021). Practically, it equips Christians to engage pluralistic societies with integrity, offering guidance for moral education, discipleship, and public discourse. By highlighting

character formation, communal engagement, and narrative coherence, the study affirms the relevance of Christian virtue ethics for contemporary ethical challenges. The study primarily focuses on Western Christian virtue traditions both Protestant and Catholic and their interaction with pluralistic moral systems. It deliberately excludes a comprehensive treatment of all Christian traditions or every possible ethical issue, concentrating instead on virtue as a lens for moral formation, character development, and public engagement. This delimitation allows for depth of analysis and practical applicability.

Methodology

This study adopts a *normative-conceptual approach*, aiming to clarify and critically engage with foundational concepts such as “virtue,” “flourishing,” and “character” from both philosophical and theological perspectives. By examining classical philosophical accounts alongside Christian theological reflections, the study seeks to illuminate how these concepts inform moral formation and practical ethical guidance. The analysis engages critically with secular virtue ethicists and contemporary moral theorists, identifying areas of convergence and tension that can enrich a Christian account of virtue (Daly, 2021). Christian theological insights, particularly Aquinas’s notion of *infused virtues* and related considerations of grace and moral formation, further underpin the framework, highlighting the role of divine influence and communal practices in shaping moral character (Ludji, 2025). This methodological strategy aligns with contemporary approaches in Christian ethics that integrate philosophical rigor with theological reflection to address complex moral realities in pluralistic contexts (Salzman & Lawler, 2022). Drawing on contemporary scholarship, the study emphasizes that virtue extends beyond rule-following to encompass emotional formation, communal engagement, and the cultivation of theological virtues such as faith, hope, and love (Pelser & Cleveland, 2021). In sum, this method provides a structured, interdisciplinary basis for proposing an integrated Christian-philosophical model of virtue ethics that is both normative and practically relevant in a morally diverse world.

Structure of the Paper

The paper proceeds as follows: It first establishes the conceptual foundations of virtue and flourishing in both philosophical and theological terms. Next, it examines secular virtue ethics in dialogue with Christian traditions, highlighting points of convergence and tension. Building on this, it proposes a renewed moral architecture for Christian virtue ethics, emphasizing character formation, narrative coherence, and communal engagement. The paper concludes by reflecting on practical and theological implications for Christian life, public ethics, and moral education. In sum, this study offers a morally robust, character-centered vision of the good life, demonstrating that Christian virtue ethics, when reassessed philosophically and theologically, remains relevant, formative, and transformative in today’s pluralistic moral landscape.

Conceptual Foundations: The Good Life, Virtue, and Human Flourishing

Aristotle’s moral philosophy centres on *eudaimonia*, often translated as flourishing: he argues that living well is not about fleeting pleasures but about cultivating virtues through rational activity over a whole life (Aristotle, as discussed in the *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, n.d.). True virtue for Aristotle lies in the “golden mean” a balanced disposition developed by habit (Aristotle, n.d.) and practised in community. Contemporary scholarship shows that Aristotle’s flourishing combines moral and intellectual virtues, plus a set of external goods like friendship and basic prosperity, which support but do not define well-being. In contrast, **Stoicism** insists that virtue alone is both necessary and *sufficient* for

flourishing: external goods such as wealth, health or status are classified as “indifferent” (adiaphora) morally neutral and not essential to a good life. Stoics view virtue as the perfected use of reason, making eudaimonia entirely within one’s control. Modern moral philosophy revives these classical lines: virtue ethicists argue that character and practical wisdom (phronesis) matter more than just duty or consequences (Plato/Aristotle tradition) emphasising that flourishing requires not just right action, but morally stable, well-formed agents.

St Augustine understood the good life as rooted in **union with God**, not in worldly achievement (Philosophy Institute, n.d.). After his conversion, he rejected transient satisfactions, arguing that true happiness (beatitudo) comes from loving and knowing God, rather than pursuing earthly goods (Philosophy Institute, n.d.). For Augustine, humility and charity pave the way to this divine end, emphasising moral transformation over mere self-interest. Thomas Aquinas, meanwhile, integrates classical virtue with Christian revelation: he sees virtue as habituated dispositions that lead to flourishing, but insists that **theological virtues** (faith, hope, charity) are infused by God’s grace and direct us to our supernatural end (Philosophy Institute, n.d.; Verbum et Ecclesia, 2025). For him, perfect happiness is found only in eternal communion with God (Christian Research Institute, n.d.). Contemporary Christian thinkers often build on this foundation by emphasising the role of community, grace and practical wisdom in forming virtuous character for a flourishing life showing how virtue today remains deeply relational, embodied, and oriented toward divine love.

Convergences and divergences in defining moral character: Both secular and Christian virtue ethicists place moral emphasis on the formation of character rather than on isolated actions or rule-keeping (Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy, n.d.). Philosophically, Aristotle describes character as a set of stable, cultivated dispositions patterns of thinking, feeling, and acting that enable a person to pursue a flourishing life (Fisher, 2022). Christian virtue ethics, especially within Aquinas’s Thomistic framework, adopts this insight but adds a distinctive theological dimension through the idea of infused virtues. Aquinas argues that certain virtues most notably faith, hope, and charity are not achieved by human effort alone but are divinely given, elevating moral character beyond natural human ability (Thomas Aquinas: Moral Philosophy, n.d.; Aquinas’s Theory of Infused Moral Virtue, 2024). Both traditions agree that enduring habits shape moral identity, yet they differ in their ultimate goals: Aristotle aims at human flourishing, while Aquinas directs virtue toward union with God (Thomas Aquinas, n.d.; Vermont Catholic, 2025).

Christian Virtue Ethics in Dialogue with Secular Philosophical Traditions

Aristotle’s ethical theory centres on *eudaimonia* human flourishing achieved through the cultivation of virtue where virtues are stable character traits developed by habit and guided by practical wisdom (*phronesis*) (Aristotle, as cited in Sytsma, 2020). Christian thinkers, most notably Thomas Aquinas, adopt this framework but reorient its ultimate end not merely earthly flourishing, but union with God. Aquinas synthesised Aristotle’s moral virtues with theological ones, arguing that infused virtues (faith, hope, charity) elevate our natural moral capacities through divine grace (Truth by Grace, n.d.). Reformers like John Calvin also engage Aristotle, affirming his notions of choice, habituation, and the mean while reinterpreting them through a Christian lens (Sytsma, 2020). This Christian adaptation preserves Aristotelian structure but ensures virtue serves theological ends. As modern ethicists argue, this integration offers a rich moral vision: virtue oriented not only to human flourishing, but to divine fellowship and eternal purpose (Austin, 2017).

Aquinas holds that human virtue involves both *natural moral virtues* and *theological virtues*, jointly forming a cohesive moral architecture (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2017). Natural virtues like prudence, justice, courage, and temperance are developed through human effort and reason, perfecting our rational nature (Philosophy Institute, 2023). Meanwhile, the theological virtues of faith, hope, and charity are *infused* into us by divine grace, orienting us toward God as our ultimate end (Wawrykow, 2012). Importantly, Aquinas sees no conflict between these orders of virtue: infused natural virtues arise alongside the theological ones, shaping the same moral habits but now ordered transcendentally (Cambridge University Press, 2025). Charity, in particular, is the “form” of all virtues it gives natural virtues a supernatural direction, aligning character with divine purpose (Theology and Code, n.d.). Through this synthesis, Aquinas provides a unified vision: moral excellence is both humanly achievable and divinely elevated.

Challenges from secular virtue ethics (MacIntyre, Hursthouse, Foot): Secular virtue ethics raises serious philosophical objections that any theological ethics must wrestle with. **Alasdair MacIntyre** famously argues that modern morality is disjointed detached from tradition and telos resulting in emotivism, where moral judgments merely express feelings rather than objective norms. (After Virtue) This undercuts the idea of virtue as anchored in a coherent narrative of the good. (MacIntyre, 1981/2007) He also insists that virtues only make sense within social practices and historical traditions, which challenges universal moral claims. (MacIntyre, 1981/2007; IEP) **Rosalind Hursthouse** responds by offering a naturalistic, neo-Aristotelian virtue ethics that generates “v-rules” (e.g., “Be honest”) from virtues. (Hursthouse, 1999) However, critics argue her theory still struggles to provide firm action-guidance and risks cultural relativism: if virtues are rooted in natural traits, how do we adjudicate conflicting virtues across cultures? (Duclos, 2023) **Philippa Foot’s** virtue ethics also faces critique: she grounds virtues in natural facts about human flourishing, but some philosophers warn that relying on such “natural goodness” opens the door to questionable normative claims or implicitly appeals to an external ethical standpoint. (Woodcock, 2009) Together, these critiques highlight that secular virtue ethics, while powerful, does not resolve problems of moral plurality, grounding, and action-guidance challenges that any Christian virtue ethics must engage seriously.

Teleology and Grace in Virtue: Christian virtue formation is distinctive because it is fundamentally shaped by *grace*, *teleology*, and a divinely grounded purpose. According to Aquinas, virtues are not simply moral habits acquired by repetition; some are infused into the soul by God via sanctifying grace, orienting the believer toward a supernatural end (Knobel, 2021). These infused virtues including theological virtues such as faith, hope, and charity direct the soul toward its ultimate telos, which is union with God (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2024). Grace, then, is not merely an add-on but deeply integrated: it forms a new habitual structure within human nature, enabling actions that exceed our purely natural capacities (Osborne, 2022). This teleological orientation highlights how Christian ethics is not merely about moral performance, but about transformation: virtue becomes a participation in God’s purpose for humankind (Venter, 2020). Thus, the Christian moral life weaves together divine grace, a final end, and character formation in a way that is ontologically richer than purely secular virtue theories.

Moral Reasoning and Character Formation in a Pluralistic Age

Decline of Moral Authority: In modern society, traditional sources of moral authority like religious institutions, shared cultural norms, or community life are increasingly weakened. Many now turn inward, trusting their own feelings and self-definition as the ultimate guide to ethical truth. This phenomenon, known as **expressive individualism**, promotes the belief that an individual's inner voice is morally sovereign (Heritage Foundation, n.d.). As people prioritise personal authenticity over external standards, the collective frameworks that once structured moral life lose much of their influence. Sociologically, this shift reflects what Durkheim called moral individualism the view that the individual has become the "sacred thing par excellence," supplanting shared moral foundations (Durkheim, as cited in *Classical Social Theory*, n.d.). The result is a fragmented moral landscape, where consensus is harder to achieve and collective moral discourse is strained. Rather than appealing to communal wisdom, people often rely on their own subjective intuitions, which makes engaging in shared ethical deliberation more difficult.

Shaping Virtue via Community: Virtue formation is deeply rooted in the fabric of community, narrative, and tradition, not isolated individual choice. Philosophers such as MacIntyre argue that communities pass down shared stories and practices narratives that give shape to our moral identity and telos. Through participation in these traditions, individuals internalise virtues within a lived social context (Issabek, 2023). Christian ethics, in particular, emphasises how the stories of Scripture and the historical life of the Church embed moral life in a communal narrative (Berkman & Cartwright, as cited in NACSW, 2024). Narrative theology further highlights the role of communal interpretation: virtues like humility, patience, and prudence are nurtured within the interpretive community of believers, rooted in biblical and ecclesial tradition (Goodson, 2015). Moreover, moral development depends on ongoing engagement with community exemplars, shared practices, and the communal memory of virtue, as these structures shape and sustain a virtuous character over time (Global Faculty Initiative, 2025).

Practices of Faith and Morality: Christian moral formation goes beyond simply knowing what is right or wrong; it emerges through practices that shape character over time. **Habit** refers to the cultivated moral dispositions developed through repeated actions and shared community life (Pelser & Cleveland, 2021). **Liturgy**, particularly gathered worship, reinforces a believer's moral identity by directing the heart toward God's grace and nurturing the virtues of faith, hope, and love (Pelser & Cleveland, 2021). **Discipleship** following Christ alongside others frames growth as relational and supported through mentoring, spiritual disciplines, and shared accountability (Huber, 2024). Together, these practices nurture instinctive virtue, orient the believer toward God, and sustain moral development. As Broer, van der Walt, and Wolhuter (2025) note, formation across family, church, and school fosters moral citizenship by embedding virtue within relational and institutional life.

Christian Ethics in Secular Contexts: Christian virtues can indeed have meaningful resonance in secular moral discourse. As de Villiers (2021) argues, Christian and secular moralities are not inherently exclusionary; there is ample room for shared ethical vocabulary without dismissing Christian distinctives. Christian virtue ethics frequently emphasises traits such as humility, compassion, hope, and love not merely as religious ideals but as character qualities rooted in a narrative and theological tradition that can enrich public virtue and civic life (Oxford Handbook of Virtue Ethics, 2024). Moreover, Christian virtue formation contributes

to the common good: Broer, van der Walt, and Wolhuter (2025) highlight how character development through church, family, and education stabilises moral behaviour and builds trust in diverse communities. Thus, far from being irrelevant, Christian virtue ethics offers a robust and relational moral resource capable of addressing ethical fragmentation in secular societies.

Toward a Reconstructed Moral Architecture of the Good Life

Philosophical and Theological Synthesis: At the centre of this synthesis is a form of philosophical realism that holds moral truths to be objective existing beyond personal preference or cultural consensus. Christian moral realism affirms this, grounding these truths in God’s nature and the moral structure of human life (Black, 2001). When paired with theological anthropology which teaches that humans are made in God’s image and oriented toward relationship with Him it produces a sturdy moral vision in which virtue reflects both metaphysical reality and divine intention. This approach goes beyond simply borrowing from philosophy; it asserts that humans possess a genuine moral ontology: we are created for virtue, not merely capable of moral choice. Theology enriches this by introducing grace and eschatological hope, meaning moral growth involves both human effort and divine empowerment (Moore, 2009). As Bonhoeffer’s ethical reflections show, Christian moral action is “responsible realism,” lived out through concrete, communal practices (Zimmermann, 2019). Together, these threads create a moral framework that is neither abstract theory nor spiritual idealism, but an embodied, reality-rooted account of virtue.

Contemporary Reinterpretation of Virtues: In a modern context, reimagining the *cardinal virtues* (prudence, justice, temperance, and fortitude) alongside the *theological virtues* (faith, hope, and love) means making them deeply relevant to everyday life rather than simply reviving ancient ethical ideals. The cardinal virtues continue to guide rational decision-making and character under pressure with prudence helping us navigate complex moral dilemmas, fortitude sustaining resilience, justice promoting fairness, and temperance shaping self-control (DCR Ref. Info, 2023). Simultaneously, the theological virtues, which Christianity teaches are infused by divine grace, are not abstract spiritual traits but dynamic forces for flourishing: faith gives purpose, hope nurtures perseverance in uncertainty, and love (charity) establishes caring communities (O Clarim, 2025). Recent work also shows that these virtues impact public well-being: theoretical and empirical studies link faith, hope, and love to better mental and social health by strengthening resilience, meaning-making, and relational bonds (Stegall et al., 2022). By reimagining these virtues in a way that embraces both their ancient character and modern significance, Christians can articulate a moral vision that is both timeless and deeply relevant.

Practical Wisdom in Christianity: A Christian model of *practical wisdom (phronēsis)* in pluralistic societies places this virtue at the heart of moral discernment and integration. Drawing from Christian theology, wisdom is not only the ability to weigh competing values but is deeply rooted in a relational participation in God, balancing the Aristotelian *phronēsis* with a spiritual, participative dimension. In plural contexts, such wisdom helps Christians navigate conflicting moral perspectives by perceiving what matters (cognitive insight), regulating emotions (affective balance), and adjudicating between virtues in real-life decisions. Kristjánsson’s model shows how *phronēsis* functions as a psycho-moral integrator, guiding ethical action in complex, virtue-laden situations.

Ethics for Communal Wellbeing: Christian virtue ethics offers profound implications for public life and policy by promoting character-based decision-making that strengthens civic trust and collective well-being. Rather than relying solely on deontological rules or utilitarian calculations, it centres on social virtues such as justice, solidarity, and compassion that contribute to the common good (Stiltner, 2019). By cultivating these virtues in individuals and institutions, citizens are better equipped for moral resilience and social cooperation within pluralistic societies (De Villiers, 2025). In this way, Christian virtue ethics helps build more humane and flourishing communities where policies reflect character, community, and shared moral vision.

Conclusion

This study has shown that a renewed understanding of Christian virtue ethics can offer a coherent, life-giving moral vision for individuals and communities navigating a morally pluralistic world. By clarifying the core concepts of virtue, flourishing, and character, the paper underscored that moral life is not merely a matter of rule-compliance or duty-performance but a deeply formative process shaped by habits, emotions, grace, and communal practices. Insights from both philosophical virtue ethics and Christian theological traditions especially Aquinas's account of infused virtues reveal that character formation is ultimately a cooperative work between human effort and divine empowerment. This synthesis highlights that virtues such as faith, hope, and love are not only private spiritual dispositions but transformative moral capacities that shape public life, relationships, and social responsibility.

In contributing to contemporary virtue ethics debates, the study offers a constructive bridge between secular and theological perspectives. While secular virtue ethicists emphasize practical wisdom and habituation, Christian ethics broadens the horizon by affirming the role of grace, worship, and moral community. This integrated approach responds to current discussions about whether virtue can be sustained without shared metaphysical grounding or communal narratives. By demonstrating how theological accounts of virtue enrich philosophical conversations rather than stand in competition with them the study adds a nuanced voice advocating for a virtue ethic that is both intellectually rigorous and spiritually grounded. It also challenges the tendency to separate personal morality from public responsibility by showing how virtues cultivate moral agency that is attentive to justice, solidarity, and human dignity. Looking ahead, future directions for Christian moral philosophy and public theology involve deepening the engagement between virtue ethics, social ethics, and public policy. There is a growing need for scholarship that explores how virtues can shape civic imagination, public trust, and ethical leadership in contexts marked by polarization, economic inequity, and social fragmentation. Further research may also examine how Christian communities can become schools of virtue spaces that nurture moral resilience, emotional maturity, and communal flourishing. Ultimately, this trajectory invites Christian ethics to speak prophetically and pastorally into public life, offering a moral vision that forms people not only to live well but to help communities flourish in hope and hospitality.

References

- Allegrì, F. (2022). Conflicting values and moral pluralism in normative ethics. *Kultura i Wartości*, 34, 9–26. <https://doi.org/10.17951/kw.2022.34.9-26>
- Aristotle. (n.d.). *Aristotle's ethics*. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/aristotle-ethics/>

- Austin, N. (2017). Normative virtue theory in theological ethics. *Religions*, 8(10), 211. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel8100211>
- Aquinas's theory of infused moral virtue. (2024, November 18). Thomas Aquinas College. <https://www.thomasaquinas.edu/news/aquinass-theory-infused-moral-virtue>
- Author, A. (2023). Reasoning in character: Virtue, legal argumentation, and judicial ethics. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*, 28, 359–378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10677-023-10414-z>
- Black, R. (2001). *Christian moral realism: Natural law, narrative, virtue, and the Gospel*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198270201.001.0001>
- Broer, N. A., van der Walt, J. L., & Wolhuter, C. C. (2025). Shaping Christian citizenship in diverse societies through family, church, and school educators. In *die Skriflig/In Luce Verbi*, 59(1), Article a3219. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ids.v59i1.3219>
- Cambridge University Press. (2025). Natural and supernatural virtue (Chapter 4). In *Thomas Aquinas on virtue*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009053754.005>
- Christian Research Institute. (n.d.). Virtue, human nature and the quest for happiness. <https://www.equip.org/articles/virtue-human-nature-and-the-quest-for-happiness/>
- Daly, D. J. (2021). Virtue ethics and action guidance. *Theological Studies*, 82(4), 565–582. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00405639211055177>
- DCR Ref. Info. (2023). Moral & theological virtues. <https://dcref.info/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/19-Moral-And-Theological-Virtues.pdf>
- de Villiers, D. E. (2021). Do Christian and secular moralities exclude one another? *Verbum et Ecclesia*, 42(2), Article a2308. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ve.v42i2.2308>
- De Villiers, E. (2025). Virtue and responsibility: Economic-ethical perspectives in the work of Etienne de Villiers. *Verbum et Ecclesia*. <https://verbumeteclesia.org.za/index.php/ve/article/view/737>
- Duclos, J. (2023). Virtue ethics and action guidance. *PhilArchive*. <https://philarchive.org/rec/DUCVEA>
- Durkheim, É. (n.d.). Individualism and morality. In *Classical Social Theory: Marx, Durkheim, Weber* (pp. 183–...). <https://studylib.net/doc/27719160/classical-social-theory-and-modern-society>
- Fisher, A. (2022). Aristotelian virtue ethics – Philosophical thought. Oklahoma State University. <https://open.library.okstate.edu/introphilosophy/chapter/virtue-ethics/>
- Global Faculty Initiative. (2025). Communities play a key role. https://globalfacultyinitiative.net/content_item/389
- Goodson, J. L. (2015). *Narrative theology and the hermeneutical virtues: Humility, patience, prudence*. Lexington Books. <https://www.bloomsbury.com/uk/narrative-theology-and-the-hermeneutical-virtues-9780739190135/>
- Heritage Foundation. (n.d.). How expressive individualism threatens civil society. <https://www.heritage.org/civil-society/report/how-expressive-individualism-threatens-civil-society>
- Huber, R. (2024). Formation and Christian ethics. *BiblicalTraining*. <https://www.biblicaltraining.org/learn/academy/introduction-spiritual-formation-sf303/formation-christian-ethics-sf303-11>
- Hursthouse, R. (1999). *On virtue ethics*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0199247994.001.0001>
- Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy. (n.d.). Virtue ethics. <https://iep.utm.edu/virtue/>

- Issabek, K. Z. (2023). The importance of narrative unity and tradition in virtue ethics. *History Philosophy Vestnik*, 2(110), 308–315. <https://history-philosophy-vestnik.ksu.kz/apart/2023-110-2/30.pdf>
- Kalágatos. (n.d.). Aristotle's moral philosophy: An experience for human flourishing in the modern context. <https://revistas.uece.br/index.php/kalagatos/article/view/15417>
- Knobel, A. M. (2021). *Aquinas and the infused moral virtues*. University of Notre Dame Press. <https://philpapers.org/rec/OSBAAT-4>
- Kristjánsson, K. (2024). Aristotelian practical wisdom (phronesis) as the key to professional ethics in teaching. *Topoi*, 43(3), 1031–1042. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11245-023-09974-7>
- Long, A. A., & Sedley, D. N. (1987). Stoicism's naturalist ethics. *History of Philosophy Quarterly*. <https://philarchive.org/archive/MOLNFD>
- Ludji, I. (2025). Why infusion of virtue matters? A study on Thomas Aquinas's virtues in a person's ethical decision. *Evangelikal: Jurnal Teologi Injili dan Pembinaan Warga Jemaat*, 9(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.46445/ejti.v9i1.862>
- MacIntyre, A. C. (2007). *After virtue: A study in moral theory* (3rd ed.). University of Notre Dame Press. (Original work published 1981)
- Moore, A. (2009). Realism and Christian faith: Towards an ontological approach. In *Realism and Christian Faith* (pp. 1–20). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511615498.002>
- NACSW. (2024). Virtue ethics in the Christian tradition. *Social Work & Christianity*, 41(2). <https://www.nacsw.org/RC/49995027.pdf>
- O Clarim. (2025, June 11). The theological virtues (1) – Faith: The fundamental virtue. <https://www.oclarim.com.mo/en/2025/06/11/the-theological-virtues-1-faith-the-fundamental-virtue/>
- Oxford Handbook of Virtue Ethics. (2024). In *Christian theories of virtue* (Chapter). Oxford University Press.
- Oxford Handbook of Wisdom and the Bible. (n.d.). In *Wisdom in Christian Theology*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190648085.013.4>
- Pelser, A. C., & Cleveland, W. S. (Eds.). (2021). *Faith and virtue formation: Christian philosophy in aid of becoming good*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780192895349.001.0001>
- Philosophy Institute. (2023). Ethical foundations in Aquinas' philosophy: Virtue and divine purpose. <https://philosophy.institute/western-philosophy/ethical-foundations-virtue-divine-purpose-aquinas/>
- Philosophy Institute. (n.d.). Augustine's ethics: The pursuit of happiness and God. <https://philosophy.institute/ancient-medieval/augustines-ethics-happiness-god/>
- Philosophy Institute. (n.d.). Thomas Aquinas's moral philosophy: Virtue, law, and the pursuit of happiness. <https://philosophy.institute/ancient-medieval/aquinas-moral-philosophy-virtue-law-happiness/>
- Pope, P. (2025). The Roman Catholic conceptualisation of morality: Its essence and distinctive character. *Verbum et Ecclesia*, 46(1). <https://verbumeteclesia.org.za/index.php/ve/article/view/2970>
- Salzman, T. A., & Lawler, M. G. (2022). Method and Catholic theological ethics in the twenty-first century. *Theological Studies*, 83, 904–929. <https://theologicalstudies.net/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/9-Salzman-Lawler-Method-and-Catholic-Ethics.pdf>

- Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. (2017). Saint Thomas Aquinas. <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2017/entries/aquinas/>
- Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. (2024). Stoicism. <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2024/entries/stoicism/>
- Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. (2024). Thomas Aquinas. <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2024/entries/aquinas/>
- Stegall, J., Brooks, E., & Gregg, R. (2022). Theological virtues, health, and well-being: Theory, research, and public health. In *Handbook of positive psychology, religion, and spirituality* (pp. 395–409). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-10274-5_25
- Stiltner, B. (2019). Virtue ethics as social ethics. Sacred Heart University. [https://catholicethics.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Stiltner Poster for Sarajevo final.pdf](https://catholicethics.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Stiltner%20Poster%20for%20Sarajevo%20final.pdf)
- Sultana, R. (2025). The role of virtue ethics in personal well being: A philosophical examination. *The Review of Contemporary Scientific and Academic Studies*, 5(1). <https://thercsas.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/rcsas5012025007.pdf>
- Sytsma, D. S. (2020). John Calvin and virtue ethics: Augustinian and Aristotelian themes. *Journal of Religious Ethics*, 48(3), 519–556. <https://philarchive.org/rec/SYTJCA>
- Theology and Code. (n.d.). The cardinal virtues. <https://www.theologyandcode.com/concepts/cardinal-virtues/>
- Thomas Aquinas: Moral Philosophy. (n.d.). *Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. <https://iep.utm.edu/thomasaquinas-moral-philosophy/>
- Truth By Grace. (n.d.). The historical development of the Christian virtue ethics tradition. <https://truthbygrace.org/thomas-aquinas-employment-aristotle-understanding-human-will/>
- Venter, E. (2020). Ethics and virtue in Christian moral theory [PDF]. University of Pretoria. [https://repository.up.ac.za/bitstream/handle/2263/74852/Venter Ethics 2020.pdf](https://repository.up.ac.za/bitstream/handle/2263/74852/Venter_Ethics_2020.pdf)
- Vermont Catholic. (2025, January 30). Walking with Aquinas: The theological virtues and the development of Christian ethics. <https://www.vermontcatholic.org/saints/walking-with-aquinas-the-theological-virtues-and-the-development-of-christian-ethics/>
- Wawrykow, J. P. (2012). The theological virtues. In *The Oxford Handbook of Aquinas* (pp. 287–308). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195326093.013.0023>
- Woodcock, S. (2009). Philippa Foot’s virtue ethics has an Achilles’ heel. *Dialogue: Canadian Philosophical Review*, 45(3), 445–468. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0012217300001013>
- Wong, D. B. (2023). *Moral relativism and pluralism*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009043496>
- Zagzebski, L. (2023). Virtue ethics. *Think*, 22(63), 15–21. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1477175622000252>
- Zimmermann, J. (2019). Living freely before God: Christian humanist ethics or “realistic responsible action.” In *Dietrich Bonhoeffer’s Christian Humanism* (pp. 183–235). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198832560.001.0001>