



JOURNAL OF LEADERSHIP AND DEVELOPMENT

Volume 2, Number 1 (March, 2026)

ISSN: 1595-9457 (online); 3093-0618 (print)

Website: <https://jppssuniuyo.com/jld> Email: jppssuniuyo@gmail.com

Received: January 22, 2026 Accepted: February 18, 2026 Published: March 31, 2026

Citation: Pam, Davou D. (2026). "Political Leadership and the Crisis of Public Morality in Nigeria." *Journal of Leadership and Development*, 2 (1): 15-24.

Article

Open Access

POLITICAL LEADERSHIP AND THE CRISIS OF PUBLIC MORALITY IN NIGERIA

Davou Dung Pam

Department of Religious Studies (Conflict Management Unit)

International University, Bamenda, Cameroon

Email: pamdavou6@gmail.com

Abstract

The persistence of corruption, ethical decline, and weak accountability in Nigeria underscores a deep-seated crisis of public morality closely linked to political leadership practices. Despite successive governance reforms and anti-corruption initiatives, unethical conduct among political elites remains pervasive, raising critical concerns about leadership responsibility and moral governance. The central problem addressed in this study is the failure of political leadership to uphold ethical standards necessary for democratic legitimacy and sustainable development in Nigeria. The main aim of this paper is to examine the relationship between political leadership and the crisis of public morality in Nigeria, with a focus on identifying the structural, institutional, and ethical factors that sustain moral decay in public governance. The study adopts a qualitative research method based on critical analysis of secondary data, including scholarly literature, policy documents, and empirical studies relevant to leadership, ethics, and governance in Nigeria. The findings reveal that moral failure in political leadership manifested through corruption, abuse of office, patronage politics, and institutional impunity has significantly weakened public trust, undermined rule of law, distorted development priorities, and contributed to socio-economic inequality. The study further finds that weak accountability institutions and cultural normalization of unethical behaviour reinforce this moral crisis, allowing unethical leadership practices to persist and reproduce across generations. Based on these findings, the paper recommends strengthening ethical leadership frameworks, reforming institutional accountability mechanisms, promoting civic and moral education, enhancing transparency through strategic communication, and institutionalizing merit-based governance. The study concluded that sustainable governance and national development in Nigeria are unattainable without deliberate moral renewal in political leadership. Ethical leadership is

Copyright © 2026 By JLD. Publishers: Omega Books

This is an open access article which permits unrestricted use provided the work is properly cited.

therefore indispensable to restoring public morality, rebuilding democratic legitimacy, and achieving inclusive development.

Keywords: Political leadership, Public morality, Ethical governance, Corruption, Nigeria.

Introduction

Political leadership plays a central role in shaping the moral character, institutional credibility, and developmental trajectory of any nation. In Nigeria, the persistent crisis of public morality has become one of the most profound challenges confronting governance and democratic consolidation. Despite the country's abundant human and natural resources, Nigeria continues to experience widespread corruption, ethical decay in public institutions, erosion of civic responsibility, and declining public trust in political authority. Scholars increasingly argue that these challenges are not merely administrative or economic but fundamentally moral, rooted in leadership practices that normalize unethical behaviour and weaken collective moral consciousness (Bankole & Olaniyi, 2021; Kure, 2020). Since independence in 1960, Nigeria's political history has been marked by alternating periods of military authoritarianism and civilian rule, each characterized by varying degrees of ethical compromise. While democratic transitions, particularly since 1999, were expected to usher in accountability, transparency, and moral renewal, the reality has been largely disappointing. Instead, corruption, patronage politics, abuse of public office, and elite impunity have persisted, suggesting a continuity of moral deficits across regimes rather than a rupture from past practices (Abada, 2025; Namo, Agwadu, & Amakoromo, 2024). This persistence indicates that Nigeria's leadership crisis is deeply embedded in structural and cultural frameworks that sustain moral dysfunction.

Public morality defined as the shared ethical standards guiding conduct in public life has suffered significant erosion in Nigeria. Acts such as bribery, nepotism, electoral malpractice, and diversion of public resources have increasingly become normalized within political and bureaucratic systems. As political leaders serve as moral exemplars, their actions significantly influence societal norms and expectations. When leadership consistently violates ethical standards without consequence, it fosters a culture of moral permissiveness and cynicism among citizens, undermining the legitimacy of the state and weakening democratic values (Akpa & Igah, 2019; Oghojafor, 2023). The crisis of public morality in Nigeria is further exacerbated by weak institutions and selective enforcement of laws. Oversight agencies, anti-corruption bodies, and the judiciary often lack the autonomy or political will required to hold powerful actors accountable. Constitutional provisions such as executive immunity have contributed to a governance environment where moral responsibility is diluted and ethical violations are rarely punished (Akpa & Igah, 2019). This institutional fragility reinforces perceptions that leadership is detached from moral obligation and public service ethos.

Beyond institutional factors, cultural and socio-economic dynamics also shape the moral landscape of Nigerian politics. Some scholars contend that traditional moral systems that once emphasized communal responsibility and ethical restraint have been weakened by modernization, economic hardship, and politicized ethnic loyalties (Atolagbe, 2018; Emina, 2017). In such contexts, political leadership often exploits identity politics and material deprivation to sustain power, further entrenching moral compromise and public disillusionment. Against this background, this paper examines the relationship between political leadership and the crisis of public morality in Nigeria. It seeks to analyze how leadership practices contribute to moral decay in public life, explore the structural and

cultural drivers of ethical erosion, and assess the implications for governance and national development. By engaging relevant theoretical perspectives and empirical literature, the study contributes to ongoing debates on ethical leadership and offers pathways toward moral renewal and sustainable governance in Nigeria.

Conceptual Clarification

Conceptual clarification is essential in political and ethical studies in order to establish analytical precision and avoid ambiguity. This section clarifies the key concepts underpinning this study on political leadership, public morality, crisis, and ethical governance and situates them within relevant theoretical and empirical literature on Nigeria's political experience.

Political Leadership: Political leadership refers to the capacity and authority exercised by individuals or institutions entrusted with the responsibility of governing a political community. It involves decision-making, policy formulation, resource allocation, and the articulation of collective goals within a constitutional or political framework. Beyond technical competence, political leadership is inherently moral, as it requires the exercise of power in ways that promote justice, accountability, and the common good (Kure, 2020). In democratic societies, political leaders are expected to act as moral exemplars whose conduct shapes public values and institutional culture. In the Nigerian context, political leadership has often been characterized by personalization of power, patron-client relations, and elite dominance. Scholars argue that leadership failure in Nigeria is not simply a problem of capacity but of ethical orientation, where public office is frequently treated as a means of private accumulation rather than public service (Bankole & Olaniyi, 2021; Abada, 2025). This ethical deficit has contributed to the erosion of trust in leadership and the weakening of moral authority in governance.

Public Morality: Public morality refers to the shared ethical norms, values, and standards that regulate behaviour in public life, particularly within political and administrative institutions. It encompasses principles such as honesty, transparency, fairness, respect for the rule of law, and accountability to citizens. Public morality differs from private morality in that it governs actions that affect collective welfare and public resources (Oghojafor, 2023). In Nigeria, public morality has been significantly compromised by systemic corruption and moral relativism. Practices such as bribery, nepotism, vote-buying, and embezzlement have become pervasive and, in some cases, socially tolerated. According to Emina (2017), this normalization of unethical conduct reflects a broader moral crisis in which ethical standards are selectively applied based on political power or social status. The decline of public morality weakens civic virtue and undermines citizens' confidence in democratic institutions.

Crisis

The term crisis denotes a condition of severe instability, breakdown, or dysfunction that threatens the normal functioning of a system. In relation to public morality, a crisis exists when unethical behaviour becomes institutionalized and moral standards lose their regulatory power over political conduct. Such a crisis is not episodic but structural, reflecting long-term deterioration in ethical norms and accountability mechanisms (Namo, Agwadu, & Amakoromo, 2024). Nigeria's moral crisis is evident in the persistence of corruption despite numerous reforms, commissions, and anti-graft agencies. The failure of these mechanisms suggests that the problem extends beyond policy inadequacies to deep-seated moral and cultural contradictions within the political system (Akpa & Igah, 2019). The crisis of public morality therefore represents a systemic challenge that undermines governance legitimacy and social cohesion.

Ethical Governance: Ethical governance refers to the practice of governing in accordance with moral principles that prioritize public interest, human dignity, transparency, and accountability. It emphasizes adherence to ethical codes, respect for constitutionalism, and responsiveness to citizens' needs. Ethical governance is widely regarded as a prerequisite for sustainable development and democratic stability (Kure, 2020). In Nigeria, ethical governance remains aspirational rather than operational. While formal ethical frameworks and anti-corruption policies exist, their implementation is often undermined by political interference and weak enforcement. Scholars have argued that ethical governance cannot thrive in the absence of ethical leadership, as leaders play a critical role in setting standards, enforcing norms, and shaping institutional behaviour (Bankole & Olaniyi, 2021; Dike, 2025). The disconnect between ethical ideals and political practice has thus perpetuated the moral crisis in Nigeria's public sector.

Leadership and Moral Responsibility

Moral responsibility in leadership entails the obligation of political actors to act in ways that uphold ethical standards and protect public trust. This responsibility extends beyond legal compliance to include moral judgment, integrity, and accountability. Ethical leadership theory posits that leaders who consistently demonstrate moral behaviour influence followers and institutions positively, fostering ethical climates within organizations and society (Kure, 2020). In Nigeria, the failure of political leaders to accept moral responsibility for governance outcomes has contributed to public cynicism and democratic disillusionment. The absence of consequences for ethical violations reinforces a culture of impunity, weakening moral restraint in public life (Abada, 2025). As such, clarifying the moral dimension of leadership is crucial to understanding the persistence of Nigeria's public morality crisis.

Manifestations of Moral Crisis in Leadership

- i. **Corruption and Abuse of Office:** Corruption remains a defining feature of political leadership in Nigeria. Political elites regularly misuse public office for personal enrichment, undermining the state's moral authority and public trust. The constitutional provision for immunity against prosecution of political office holders has insulated misconduct from accountability, further reinforcing impunity (Akpa & Igah, 2019). Corruption in governance affects public services, derails development projects, and exacerbates socio-economic inequalities.
- ii. **Institutional Weaknesses and Ethical Compliance:** Efforts to promote ethical behaviour are severely undermined by weak enforcement of laws and compromised oversight institutions. Anti-corruption agencies often face structural limitations in prosecuting high-profile offenders, reinforcing perceptions that leadership is beyond moral scrutiny (Abada, 2025). Failure of public sector ethics reforms has also been attributed to lack of institutional will and inadequate moral education among public servants (Oghojafor, 2023).
- iii. **Cultural and Social Dimensions:** Some scholars contend that the moral crisis is not solely political, but also cultural (Emina, 2017; Atolagbe, 2018). The normalization of corrupt practices and patronage politics in everyday life reflects a broader moral ambivalence. Traditional moral systems and taboos that once regulated behaviour have been weakened in the modern political context, contributing to ethical erosion (Atolagbe, 2018). Existential analyses also suggest that cultural practices unwittingly

condone corrupt behaviour, shaping collective moral consciousness in ways that accommodate political dysfunction (Emina, 2017).

Theoretical Perspectives on Leadership and Morality

Understanding the crisis of public morality in Nigeria requires engagement with theoretical perspectives that explain the relationship between power, leadership behaviour, ethical decision-making, and societal values. This study draws on ethical leadership theory, Machiavellian political theory, social learning theory, and institutional theory to illuminate how leadership conduct shapes moral outcomes in governance.

- i. **Ethical Leadership Theory (Michael E. Brown, Linda K. Trevino & David A Harrison, 2005):** Ethical leadership theory emphasizes the moral dimension of leadership, arguing that leadership effectiveness is inseparable from ethical conduct. Ethical leaders are characterized by integrity, fairness, accountability, and concern for the common good. They not only adhere to moral principles but actively promote ethical standards through decision-making, communication, and enforcement of norms (Kure, 2020). Within this framework, leaders are viewed as moral agents whose behaviour establishes ethical expectations for institutions and society at large. In the Nigerian context, ethical leadership theory helps explain how moral failure at the political elite level contributes to widespread ethical decay. When leaders engage in corruption, abuse of office, or manipulation of public institutions, they send implicit signals that unethical behaviour is acceptable or even rewarded. Scholars argued that the absence of ethical leadership in Nigeria has weakened public institutions and normalized moral compromise within governance structures (Bankole & Olaniyi, 2021; Oghojafor, 2023). Ethical leadership theory therefore underscores the importance of moral exemplarity in restoring public trust and ethical governance.
- ii. **Machiavellian Perspective on Political Leadership:** The Machiavellian perspective on leadership offers a contrasting lens, prioritizing power acquisition and retention over moral considerations. Drawing from Niccolò Machiavelli's political philosophy, this perspective suggests that leaders may legitimately suspend moral principles when pursuing political stability or personal survival. In practice, this approach often justifies deception, coercion, and manipulation as necessary tools of governance, arguing always that the ends justify the means. Several scholars have applied Machiavellian analysis to Nigerian politics, arguing that political leaders frequently separate morality from leadership practice, treating ethics as expendable in the struggle for power (Ejukwe & Nwinya, 2025; Emina, 2017). This theoretical orientation helps explain why electoral manipulation, patronage politics, and corruption persist despite public condemnation. The Machiavellian approach, while descriptively useful, exposes the moral deficit inherent in leadership practices that prioritize expediency over ethical responsibility, thereby deepening the crisis of public morality.
- iii. **Social Learning Theory (Albert Bandura, 1977):** Social learning theory posits that individuals learn behaviours, values, and norms through observation and imitation of role models, particularly those in positions of authority. Applied to political leadership, the theory suggests that citizens and public officials model their behaviour after political leaders, consciously or unconsciously internalizing the norms demonstrated at the top of the political hierarchy (Namo, Agwadu, & Amakoromo, 2024). In Nigeria, the relevance of social learning theory is evident in the diffusion of corrupt practices across political and bureaucratic institutions. When political leaders engage in unethical conduct without consequence, such behaviour becomes normalized and replicated

throughout the system. This process contributes to moral contagion, where unethical behaviour spreads across institutions and society, reinforcing a culture of impunity (Akpa & Igah, 2019). Social learning theory thus highlights the far-reaching moral implications of leadership behaviour beyond individual misconduct.

- iv. **Human Dignity and Moral Responsibility Perspective:** Another important theoretical lens is the human dignity and moral responsibility perspective, which emphasizes that political leadership carries an ethical obligation to respect human worth and promote social justice. Leadership decisions that undermine public welfare, divert resources, or exacerbate inequality are viewed as violations of moral responsibility and human dignity (Kure, 2020). Within Nigeria's governance context, this perspective exposes how corruption and ethical neglect erode citizens' dignity by depriving them of basic services, security, and economic opportunity. The moral crisis in leadership thus transcends legal infractions and becomes a fundamental ethical failure with human consequences (Bankole & Olaniyi, 2021). This framework reinforces the argument that leadership morality is central to sustainable development and democratic legitimacy. Collectively, these theoretical perspectives provide a multidimensional understanding of leadership and morality in Nigeria. Ethical leadership theory offers a normative framework for moral governance, while Machiavellian theory explains the pragmatic erosion of ethics in power struggles. Social learning theory illustrates how leadership behaviour shapes societal morality, and institutional theory reveals how systemic weaknesses perpetuate ethical failure. Together, they underscore that the crisis of public morality in Nigeria is both a leadership and structural problem, requiring moral renewal at the individual, institutional, and societal levels.

Impacts on Governance and Development

The crisis of public morality rooted in political leadership failure has far-reaching consequences for governance quality and national development in Nigeria. Ethical decay within leadership structures undermines institutional effectiveness, distorts policy outcomes, and weakens the social contract between the state and its citizens. Scholars widely agree that moral failure in leadership is not merely a normative concern but a critical structural obstacle to sustainable development and democratic stability (Bankole & Olaniyi, 2021; Namu, Agwadu, & Amakoromo, 2024). Beyond these, this study reveals the following impacts:

- i. **Erosion of Public Trust and Democratic Legitimacy:** One of the most significant impacts of moral crisis in political leadership is the erosion of public trust in government institutions. Trust is a foundational element of democratic governance, enabling citizen cooperation, compliance with laws, and participation in civic processes. In Nigeria, repeated incidents of corruption, electoral malpractice, and abuse of office have fostered widespread cynicism toward political leaders and public institutions (Oghojafor, 2023). When citizens perceive leaders as morally compromised, they are less likely to view political authority as legitimate, thereby weakening democratic accountability and civic engagement. The decline in public trust also affects electoral participation, as voters become disillusioned with democratic processes perceived to be manipulated or morally bankrupt. This disengagement undermines representative governance and creates opportunities for elite domination and authoritarian tendencies within democratic frameworks (Akpa & Igah, 2019).
- ii. **Weak Institutional Performance and Policy Failure:** Moral deficits in political leadership significantly impair the performance of governance institutions. Corruption and

unethical conduct distort policy priorities, leading to misallocation of public resources and ineffective implementation of development programmes. Funds intended for infrastructure, education, healthcare, and social welfare are often diverted for personal or political gain, resulting in poor service delivery and stalled development outcomes (Abada, 2025). Institutional inefficiency is further exacerbated by politicization of public offices and patronage systems that prioritize loyalty over competence. Such practices weaken bureaucratic professionalism and reduce the capacity of institutions to function independently and ethically. Scholars argue that governance systems characterized by moral compromise struggle to enforce regulations, coordinate development efforts, and respond effectively to citizens' needs (Bankole & Olaniyi, 2021).

- iii. **Economic Underdevelopment and Inequality:** The moral crisis in political leadership has direct economic consequences. Corruption increases the cost of doing business, discourages foreign and domestic investment, and reduces economic competitiveness. Nigeria's persistent governance challenges have been identified as key factors limiting economic diversification and inclusive growth (Namo et al., 2024). Public resources that could stimulate development are instead captured by political elites, deepening income inequality and regional disparities. Moreover, unethical leadership exacerbates poverty by undermining social welfare programmes and employment initiatives. When development policies are driven by political patronage rather than social need, marginalized populations suffer disproportionately. This reinforces cycles of deprivation and social exclusion, further destabilizing the socio-economic fabric of the nation (Kure, 2020).

Undermining Rule of Law and Accountability

The crisis of public morality also weakens the rule of law, a cornerstone of effective governance. Selective enforcement of laws and political interference in judicial processes undermine legal equality and accountability. In Nigeria, powerful political actors often evade prosecution for ethical and legal violations, reinforcing a culture of impunity (Akpa & Igah, 2019). This situation diminishes the deterrent effect of laws and emboldens further misconduct within political and bureaucratic institutions. Weak accountability mechanisms not only perpetuate corruption but also erode moral discipline within the public sector. Civil servants and lower-level officials are more likely to engage in unethical practices when leadership misconduct goes unpunished, creating a cascading effect across governance structures (Abada, 2025).

- i. **Social Fragmentation and Insecurity:** Moral failure in leadership has also contributed to social fragmentation and insecurity in Nigeria. Perceived injustice, corruption, and exclusion fuel public resentment and grievances, which can manifest in social unrest, violent conflict, and criminal activity. Inadequate and unethical governance responses to socio-economic challenges have been linked to rising insecurity and loss of confidence in state authority (Namo et al., 2024). When citizens lose faith in the moral capacity of leadership to ensure justice and welfare, alternative loyalties such as ethnic, religious, or regional affiliations often take precedence over national identity. This weakens social cohesion and complicates governance efforts aimed at national integration and stability (Emina, 2017).
- ii. **Stunted Development and Moral Reproduction:** Beyond immediate governance outcomes, the crisis of public morality has long-term developmental implications. Through social learning processes, unethical leadership behaviour is reproduced across generations, shaping societal attitudes toward corruption and public service (Oghojafor,

2023). This moral reproduction perpetuates governance failure by embedding unethical norms into political culture and administrative practice. Sustainable development requires not only economic resources and technical expertise but also moral leadership committed to transparency, equity, and human dignity. Without addressing the ethical foundations of governance, development initiatives are unlikely to achieve lasting impact (Kure, 2020).

Pathways to Ethical Leadership and Moral Renewal

- i. **Strengthening Institutions:** Enhancing the capacity and independence of accountability institutions—such as anti-corruption agencies, judiciary, and public service commissions—is vital. This requires legal reform to close loopholes that protect political elites and impede accountability.
- ii. **Moral Education and Public Engagement:** Promoting ethical leadership must involve moral education at both institutional and societal levels. Civic education programs that emphasize integrity, transparency, and rule of law can help shift public expectations and empower citizens to demand ethical behaviour from leaders.
- iii. **Strategic Communication and Transparency:** Effective communication strategies that promote transparency and public involvement in governance can foster a culture of accountability. Strategic communication efforts have been shown to enhance trust and promote ethical norms in Nigeria’s governance landscape (Dike, 2025).

Conclusion

Crisis of public morality in Nigeria is deeply intertwined with political leadership failures. Ethical decay among political elites, coupled with structural weaknesses in governance institutions, has entrenched corruption and eroded public trust. Addressing this crisis requires concerted efforts to strengthen institutions, promote ethical norms, and foster a culture that values integrity and accountability. Without such reforms, Nigeria’s democratic project and socio-economic progress will continue to be undermined by persistent moral and political dysfunction. The crisis of public morality in Nigeria is not inevitable. Through deliberate ethical leadership, institutional reform, civic engagement, and moral reorientation, Nigeria can rebuild public trust and strengthen democratic governance. Political leadership must move beyond rhetoric to embody moral responsibility, as ethical renewal remains indispensable to national development and democratic sustainability.

Recommendations

Addressing the crisis of public morality in Nigeria requires a multidimensional approach that targets leadership behaviour, institutional frameworks, and societal values simultaneously. Following the findings of the this study, the following recommendations are proposed to promote ethical political leadership and restore public morality in governance.

- i. **Strengthening Ethical Leadership and Moral Accountability:** There is an urgent need to institutionalize ethical leadership as a core requirement for public office. Political leaders should be subjected to enforceable ethical standards that emphasize integrity, transparency, and service to the public good. Codes of conduct for public officials must go beyond symbolic declarations and be accompanied by clear sanctions for violations. Ethical leadership training should be mandatory for elected and appointed officials, focusing on moral responsibility, public service ethics, and democratic accountability (Kure, 2020; Oghojafor, 2023). Furthermore, leadership selection processes within political parties should prioritize character, competence, and ethical track records over patronage and financial influence. Political parties play a critical gatekeeping role and

- must be reformed to serve as incubators of ethical leadership rather than platforms for moral compromise (Bankole & Olaniyi, 2021).
- ii. **Reforming Legal and Institutional Accountability Mechanisms:** Effective moral governance cannot thrive without strong institutions. Nigeria must strengthen the independence and operational capacity of anti-corruption agencies, the judiciary, and legislative oversight bodies. Legal reforms should address constitutional and procedural loopholes that shield political office holders from accountability, including excessive immunity provisions that enable impunity (Akpa & Igah, 2019; Abada, 2025). Institutional transparency mechanisms such as open budgeting, public procurement monitoring, and asset declaration enforcement should be digitized and made accessible to the public. When institutions are transparent and autonomous, they create structural disincentives for unethical behaviour and reinforce moral discipline in leadership.
 - iii. **Promoting Civic Education and Moral Reorientation:** Moral renewal in governance must be complemented by societal transformation. Civic education programmes should be strengthened to promote ethical values, democratic norms, and active citizenship. Educational curricula at all levels should emphasize integrity, rule of law, and collective responsibility, thereby nurturing a morally conscious citizenry capable of holding leaders accountable (Atolagbe, 2018; Emina, 2017). Civil society organizations, faith-based institutions, and traditional authorities should also be mobilized as partners in moral reorientation. These institutions possess cultural legitimacy and can play a critical role in reshaping social norms that currently tolerate or rationalize unethical leadership practices.
 - iv. **Enhancing Transparency Through Strategic Communication:** Strategic communication should be deployed as a governance tool to promote transparency, accountability, and public engagement. Government institutions must communicate policies, decisions, and expenditures clearly and consistently to reduce information asymmetry and public suspicion. Transparent communication builds trust and reinforces ethical expectations in public life (Dike, 2025). Whistleblower protection frameworks should be strengthened to encourage citizens and public servants to report unethical practices without fear of retaliation. When ethical behaviour is publicly rewarded and protected, moral norms are reinforced within governance systems.
 - v. **Institutionalizing Meritocracy and Professionalism:** The politicization of public administration has contributed significantly to moral decay in governance. Recruitment, promotion, and appointment processes in the public sector should be based on merit, competence, and professionalism rather than political loyalty. A merit-based system reduces incentives for corruption and enhances institutional efficiency (Bankole & Olaniyi, 2021). Performance evaluation systems that incorporate ethical conduct as a key criterion should be adopted across public institutions. Leaders and public officials who demonstrate ethical excellence should be recognized and rewarded to reinforce positive moral behaviour.
 - vi. **Integrating Moral Leadership into National Development Strategy:** Finally, ethical leadership should be recognized as a central pillar of Nigeria's development strategy. National development plans must explicitly link governance reforms to moral accountability, recognizing that sustainable development is unattainable without integrity and ethical leadership. Development partners and international institutions should also support governance initiatives that prioritize moral renewal and institutional integrity (Namo, Agwadu, & Amakoromo, 2024).

References

- Abada, I. M. (2025). Leadership, corruption and governance in Nigeria (1999–2013). *University of Nigeria Journal of Political Economy*, 15(1), 1–22.
- Akpa, P. A., & Igah, S. (2019). Political leadership and corruption in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. *Jalingo Journal of Social and Management Sciences*, 2(3), 45–60.
- Atolagbe, R. O. (2018). *Corruption in Nigeria: A revisit of African traditional ethics as a resource for ethical leadership* (Unpublished master's thesis). University of South Africa. uir.unisa.ac.za
- Bankole, I. O., & Olaniyi, D. E. (2021). Leadership crisis and corruption in the Nigerian public sector: Implications for socio-economic development. *International Journal of Public Administration and Management Research*, 6(2), 32–45. journals.rcmss.com
- Dike, H. W. (2025). Strategic communication as a catalyst for ethical leadership and good governance in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 9(1), 114–126.
- Ejukwe, O. A., & Nwinya, A. C. (2025). Machiavellianism and political leadership in Nigeria: Moral implications for democratic governance. *Journal of African Political Thought*, 4(1), 67–84.
- Emina, K. (2017). Political corruption, culture and morality in Nigeria: An existential analysis. *Journal of Social and Management Sciences*, 12(1), 89–104. universityjournals.org
- Kure, K. U. (2020). Leadership, corruption and the dignity of humans: Reflections from the Nigerian context. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 76(2), Article 5873. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v76i2.5873>
- Namo, I. B., Agwadu, L., & Amakoromo, W. T. (2024). Governance, corruption and underdevelopment in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. *African Journal of Humanities and Contemporary Education Research*, 6(1), 101–118.
- Oghojafor, I. E. (2023). A dialectical review of Nigerian public sector ethics and performance. *International Journal of Law, Politics and Humanities Research*, 3(2), 55–70. cambridgeresearchpub.com