



JOURNAL OF LEADERSHIP AND DEVELOPMENT

Volume 2, Number 1 (March, 2026)

ISSN: 1595-9457 (online); 3093-0618 (print)

Website: <https://jppssuniuyo.com/jld> Email: jppssuniuyo@gmail.com

Received: August 06, 2026 Accepted: March 25, 2026 Published: March 31, 2026

Citation: Salifu, Gabriel (2026). "Medical Brain Drain and the 'Japa' Phenomenon in Southwestern Nigeria: A Socio-Ethical Analysis." *Journal of Leadership and Development*, 2 (1): 61-68.

Article

Open Access

MEDICAL BRAIN DRAIN AND THE 'JAPA' PHENOMENON IN SOUTHWESTERN NIGERIA: A SOCIO-ETHICAL ANALYSIS

Gabriel Salifu

Department of Religious Studies and Philosophy
Redeemers University, Ede, Osun State, Nigeria

Email: gabrielsalifu99@gmail.com

ORCID: 0009-0008-1722-439X

Abstract

This study conducts a comprehensive socio-ethical analysis of the accelerating emigration of healthcare professionals, commonly termed the 'Japa' phenomenon from Southwestern Nigeria. 'Japa,' a Yoruba slang meaning "to flee, escape, or run," encapsulates the urgent, self-preservation-driven departure of skilled individuals, particularly from the medical sector. Employing a mixed-methods framework that synthesizes empirical data, policy analysis, and ethical theories, this research investigates the multifaceted drivers, profound societal consequences, and complex ethical dilemmas inherent in this brain drain. Findings reveal that push factors including systemic infrastructural decay, chronic underfunding of the health sector, inadequate remuneration, professional insecurity, and heightened societal unrest are primary catalysts. The mass exodus has precipitated a critical depletion of human resources for health (HRH), exacerbating healthcare inequities, deteriorating quality of care, and eroding medical training capacity. Ethically, the phenomenon presents a tension between individual physicians' right to pursue personal well-being and career fulfillment (autonomy and self-determination) and their social contract obligations to the state and vulnerable populations (beneficence, non-maleficence, and distributive justice). The paper argues that the Nigerian state's failure to provide a conducive professional and security environment constitutes a breach of its side of this social contract, partially legitimizing the emigration response. However, it also highlights ethical concerns regarding the destination

Copyright © 2026 By JLD. Publishers: Omega Books

This is an open access article which permits unrestricted use provided the work is properly cited.

countries' recruitment practices and the broader global injustice in health workforce distribution. The study concludes that effective mitigation requires not merely restrictive policies but a fundamental, ethically grounded restructuring of Nigeria's health sector governance, coupled with global dialogue on ethical recruitment and health equity.

Keywords: Brain Drain, Medical Migration, Japa Phenomenon, Healthcare Ethics, Nigeria,

Introduction

The global health workforce crisis is acutely felt in Sub-Saharan Africa, a region characterized by a debilitating paradox: it bears an inordinate share of the global disease burden approximately 24% while commanding a meager 3% of the world's health workers, a disparity that severely undermines health system resilience and the attainment of Universal Health Coverage (UHC) (World Health Organization [WHO], 2022; Anyangwe & Mtonga, 2007). Within this distressing continental panorama, Nigeria Africa's most populous nation and its largest economy exemplifies a severe and rapidly accelerating medical brain drain. The recent, rapid escalation of this trend has been captured and popularized in the national lexicon as the 'Japa' phenomenon, a term derived from Yoruba, meaning to flee swiftly, often from hardship, peril, or an untenable situation. More than mere migration, 'Japa' connotes a visceral, urgent escape, reflecting a profound sense of systemic failure and diminished hope. Southwestern Nigeria, home to the nation's oldest and most concentrated cluster of tertiary healthcare and training institutions, including the University College Hospital, Ibadan, and Lagos University Teaching Hospital serves as a critical epicenter for both the production and subsequent hemorrhaging of medical talent. This region, therefore, presents a poignant case study of the dynamics of skilled health worker emigration. This mass emigration of doctors, nurses, pharmacists, laboratory scientists, and other critical healthcare professionals presents a quintessential socio-ethical challenge for 21st-century global health. It is a tangible manifestation of the collision between individual agency and collective welfare, local health needs and global labour market opportunities, and the reciprocal obligations implied in the relationship between the state and its professionals. While a significant corpus of existing literature has diligently documented the brain drain's staggering scale and its primary economic determinants (Adebayo et al., 2021; Chikwelu & Okonta, 2022; Obioma et al., 2023), a dedicated socio-ethical analysis of the 'Japa' phenomenon as a distinct, culturally contextualized social action remains critically underexplored. Such an analysis must move beyond quantifying losses to interrogate the moral universe within which these migration decisions are made and adjudicated.

This research, therefore, aims to bridge this gap by pursuing three interconnected objectives: first, to analyze the interconnected socio-economic, political, and psychological drivers of the 'Japa' phenomenon among healthcare professionals in Southwestern Nigeria; second, to assess its multi-layered, cascading impact on the source health system, medical education, and societal health outcomes; and third, to rigorously interrogate the attendant ethical dilemmas from the perspectives of the migrating professionals, the Nigerian state, the residual patient population, and the global health community. By doing so, this paper seeks to reframe the conversation from one of blame to one of accountability, responsibility, and justice.

Conceptual Framework

To navigate the complex ethical terrain of medical brain drain, this analysis is principally guided by the framework of social contract theory, as applied specifically to the health sector (Daniels, 2008; Walzer, 1983). This theoretical lens posits the existence of an implicit,

multi-party covenant between the state, healthcare professionals, and the citizenry. Within this tripartite agreement, the state assumes fundamental obligations: to provide a secure and stable environment, to invest in and maintain functional health infrastructure, to ensure fair and timely compensation commensurate with skill and labour, and to foster an ecosystem conducive to professional growth and development. This constitutes the state's duty of justice and stewardship over public goods. In reciprocal return, healthcare professionals are socialized often through state-subsidized education and licensing with an expectation to contribute their acquired skills and expertise towards safeguarding and improving the health of the society that nurtured them. This embodies principles of beneficence, non-maleficence (to not abandon patients), and a duty of reciprocity (Beauchamp & Childress, 2019; Mills, 2011). The citizenry, for their part, legitimates this arrangement through taxation, civic compliance, and the trust they place in both the state and the medical profession. The 'Japa' phenomenon, conceptualized through this framework, signals a catastrophic breakdown or perceived nullification of this social contract. When professionals feel the state has consistently and egregiously reneged on its core duties failing to provide security, tools, or dignity they exercise what Albert Hirschman (1970) theorized as the "exit" option, as opposed to "voice" or "loyalty." Their emigration is thus not a first resort but a last act of agency in a context of perceived institutional betrayal. This framework allows for a nuanced ethical evaluation of the claims, responsibilities, and culpabilities of all parties involved, moving the discourse beyond simplistic narratives of unpatriotic desertion.

Methodology

To comprehensively address the research aims, this study adopted a sequential explanatory mixed-methods design, conducted over a 12-month period from 2023 to 2024. This approach allowed for the triangulation of data, providing both breadth and depth of understanding (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017). The initial quantitative phase involved a cross-sectional survey administered to 450 licensed healthcare professionals, including medical doctors, registered nurses, and midwives, purposively sampled from six major tertiary hospitals across four states in Southwestern Nigeria: Lagos, Oyo, Ogun, and Osun. The structured questionnaire, validated for content and reliability (Cronbach's alpha = 0.87), was designed to capture key metrics including intensity of migration intent, ranked preferred destinations, and an assessment of 20 push/pull factors using a 5-point Likert scale. Quantitative data were cleaned and analyzed using SPSS version 28, employing descriptive statistics (frequencies, means, standard deviations) and inferential analyses (chi-square, correlation) to identify significant patterns and associations. Subsequently, a qualitative phase was undertaken to explore the nuanced narratives behind the numbers. This phase comprised 35 in-depth interviews (IDIs) and 8 focus group discussions (FGDs) with strategically selected stakeholders. Participants included: (a) healthcare professionals with active, advanced migration plans; (b) hospital administrators and department heads grappling with staff attrition; (c) senior policy makers within State Ministries of Health; and (d) medical educators from teaching hospitals. Interview and FGD guides were semi-structured, probing into lived experiences, the ethical reasoning underpinning migration decisions, perceptions of institutional responsibility, and the psychological burden of practice in a resource-constrained setting. All qualitative data were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, and subjected to a rigorous thematic analysis using NVivo 12 software, following the iterative coding process described by Braun and Clarke (2006).

Complementing these primary data sources, a systematic documentary analysis was conducted. This involved a critical review of key national health policy documents (e.g., the National Health Act, 2014), federal and state budgetary allocations to the health sector from 2018 to 2023, and annual reports from professional regulatory bodies such as the Medical and Dental Council of Nigeria (MDCN) and the Nursing and Midwifery Council of Nigeria (NMCN). This analysis provided essential context on policy commitments versus implementation realities.

Drivers of the 'Japa' Phenomenon: Beyond Economics

The survey results presented a stark picture of professional disillusionment, with 82.5% of respondents indicating they had active, concrete plans or were seriously considering emigration within the next three years. This figure aligns with and exceeds regional estimates, underscoring the criticality of the situation (Oluwasanu et al., 2021). While economic factors were prominent, the data revealed a complex interplay of systemic failures. The top-ranked push factors, in order of agreement, were:

- i. **Remuneration and Working Conditions (98% agreement):** Salaries were consistently described as “non-living wages,” a sentiment amplified by Nigeria’s soaring inflation rate, which reached 33.2% in March 2024 (National Bureau of Statistics, 2024). The demoralizing contrast with the earnings of peers in diaspora and even within other domestic sectors was a frequent theme.
- ii. **Systemic Infrastructural Deficits (95%):** Chronic shortages of essential equipment from functional ventilators and dialysis machines to basic surgical tools coupled with an erratic power supply that forces surgeries to be conducted under flashlight or generator fumes, were cited as daily professional indignities.
- iii. **Professional Immobility and Frustration (90%):** Respondents highlighted limited opportunities for specialization due to scarce training slots, opaque and politically influenced promotion structures, and a perceived lack of meritocracy, which stifled career progression.
- iv. **Deteriorating Security and Quality of Life (88%):** Rising incidents of kidnapping for ransom, armed robbery, and general societal instability have eroded any sense of safety, affecting not just professionals but their families, making emigration a security decision as much as a career one (IOM, 2023; Salifu, 2024).
- v. **Psychological Burden and Burnout (85%):** Immense and unsustainable patient loads, coupled with the moral distress of being unable to provide care that meets basic professional standards due to systemic constraints, were leading to severe burnout, depression, and anxiety.

The qualitative data profoundly enriched these statistical findings, giving voice to the ethical anguish underpinning the decision to leave. A senior resident doctor in surgery articulated a sentiment echoed by many: “It’s not just about money. It’s about dignity. How can I be expected to suture in darkness? How do I watch patients die from conditions a simple, functioning MRI machine could have diagnosed? ‘Japa’ is not an act of greed; it is an act of professional and personal survival a reclaiming of my agency.” This narrative reframes emigration from a mere economic calculus to an ethically justifiable act of preserving one’s professional integrity, mental health, and human dignity in the face of systemic devaluation.

Socio-Ethical Impacts on the Source Region

The consequences of the exodus are not abstract; they manifest in a vicious, self-reinforcing cycle of healthcare deprivation and ethical compromise for those left behind.

- i. **Clinical Care Erosion and Violation of Beneficence:** The loss is not uniform; it disproportionately affects experienced consultants and mid-career specialists, creating a dangerous competency vacuum. In some states in the region, patient-to-doctor ratios have skyrocketed to over 5,000:1, a catastrophic figure that blatantly contravenes WHO recommendations (WHO, 2016). This directly violates the ethical principle of beneficence for the remaining population, who are denied access to timely and skilled care.
- ii. **Educational Collapse and Intergenerational Injustice:** Teaching hospitals, the bedrock of medical education, are being stripped of their most qualified faculty. This “hollowing out” of academia compromises the quality of training for the next generation of health workers, creating an “empty pipeline” effect. This constitutes a profound breach of intergenerational justice, mortgaging the health of future Nigerians (Gostin & Friedman, 2015).
- iii. **Inverse Subsidy and Global Distributive Injustice:** Nigeria’s significant public investment in subsidizing medical education, estimated at tens of thousands of dollars per doctor is effectively transferred as a human capital subsidy to the United Kingdom, United States, Canada, Australia, and Saudi Arabia (Mackintosh et al., 2006). This represents a perverse flow of resources from a lower-income country to some of the world’s wealthiest nations, exacerbating global health inequities and raising serious questions of exploitative practice and compensatory justice (Brock, 2009; Benatar, 2007).
- iv. **Moral Distress and the Burden on Those Who Stay:** The health workers who remain, whether by choice or circumstance, are burdened with impossible workloads and the constant ethical distress of practicing below the standard of care they were trained to provide. This “second victim” phenomenon fuels further burnout, cynicism, and plans for eventual departure, accelerating the system’s collapse (Wu, 2000).

The Core Ethical Dilemma: Autonomy, Obligation, and a Broken Contract

At the heart of the ‘Japa’ phenomenon lies a profound ethical tension between competing moral claims.

- i. **The Professional’s Right to Autonomy and Self-Determination:** From a liberal individualist perspective, healthcare professionals, like all individuals, possess a fundamental human right to seek safety, personal fulfillment, and a better quality of life for themselves and their families. Article 13 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights explicitly affirms the right to leave any country. A utilitarian analysis might further argue that the dramatic improvement in an individual’s well-being, security, and professional satisfaction abroad often outweighs their marginal, and often futile, contribution within a collapsing system (Pogge, 2005).
- ii. **The Professional’s Social Obligation (Duty of Reciprocity and Non-Abandonment):** Conversely, communitarian and duty-based ethics emphasize the professional’s obligations arising from the social contract. The principles of beneficence (to do good) and non-maleficence (to do no harm) suggest a strong duty not to harm vulnerable patients by withdrawing care and abandoning them to a failing system. The significant public subsidy of their education strengthens the argument for an obligation of service or reciprocity, a concept underpinning mandatory service schemes in other nations (Mills, 2011).
- iii. **Resolution within the Social Contract Framework:** This research posits that the Nigerian state has systematically and persistently failed to meet its primary obligations within the social contract: to ensure basic security, provide workable tools, and offer dignified,

timely compensation. This fundamental breach, as evidenced by the findings, nullifies or severely weakens the moral binding force of the professional's obligation to stay. When one party to a contract defaults entirely, the other is often released from their duties. As one consultant physician framed it during an interview: "You cannot stand on the moral high ground and invoke a contract that you have, by your actions and inactions, already rendered void. The state broke the covenant first." Thus, a significant share of the ethical culpability for the brain drain shifts from the migrating individual to the state actors and institutions responsible for governance.

The Ethical Responsibility of Destination Countries

The ethical analysis cannot be confined to Nigeria's borders. The active, targeted recruitment of health workers from crisis-ridden systems by wealthy nations often through streamlined visa pathways and attractive relocation packages raises serious questions of complicity and exploitation. While the World Health Organization's Global Code of Practice on the International Recruitment of Health Personnel (WHO, 2010) provides guidelines for ethical recruitment, its voluntary, non-binding nature severely limits enforcement and accountability. Many high-income countries continue to benefit from a "poacher and gamekeeper" duality, paying lip service to global health equity while actively draining the very workforces needed to achieve it in source countries (Taylor & Dhillon, 2011). This represents a collective action problem and a failure of global health governance.

Recommendations

First, for the Nigerian State and Sub-national Governments, the imperative is decisive, restorative action to rebuild trust. This requires a genuine, funded commitment to honoring the social contract. Specifically:

- i. **Implement a Security Compact for Health Workers:** Develop and fund a dedicated security protocol in collaboration with law enforcement to ensure the safety of health workers commuting to and from facilities, particularly in high-risk areas. This is a non-negotiable precondition for professional practice.
- ii. **Enact a Health Sector Renewal Fund with Transparent Governance:** Move beyond incremental budget increases to launch a time-bound, multi-billion Naira Health Sector Renewal Fund. This fund, managed by an independent board including professional representatives, should exclusively target critical infrastructure: equipping at least two tertiary hospitals per geopolitical zone to international standards within five years, ensuring uninterrupted power via hybrid solar-grid systems, and digitizing medical records.. Annual, publicly audited reports on fund utilization are essential to rebuild credibility.
- iii. **Institute a Merit-Based, Automated Career Pathway:** Co-design with professional associations a transparent, digital platform for career progression. This system should automate promotion notifications based on verifiable criteria (exams, publications, years served), delink advancement from bureaucratic patronage, and create clear, funded pathways for subspecialization to retain ambitious talent.

Second, for the Nigerian Medical and Health Professional Associations, the role must evolve from protest to proactive co-governance and diaspora engagement.

- i. **Establish a 'Diaspora Knowledge Repatriation and Circular Migration' Framework:** Partner with the government to create attractive, short-term fellowship and sabbatical programs for diaspora experts. Offer tax incentives, premium housing, and leadership

roles in specific projects (e.g., setting up a new cardiac catheterization lab) to facilitate knowledge and skill transfer without requiring permanent return.

- ii. **Launch a National Health Workforce Advocacy and Monitoring Observatory:** This independent body, hosted by a coalition of professional associations, would annually publish a “State of the Health Workforce” report, tracking not just attrition rates but also key metrics like infrastructure functionality, security incidents, and salary adequacy relative to inflation, holding all levels of government publicly accountable.

Third, for Destination Countries and the Global Health Community, ethical responsibility demands moving from soft codes to binding, compensatory justice.

- i. **Develop Bilateral ‘Health Partnership and Compensation’ Agreements:** High-income countries actively recruiting from Nigeria should be encouraged to enter into binding bilateral treaties. These would mandate a significant, annual financial contribution (e.g., a percentage of the estimated training cost per recruited professional) paid directly into Nigeria’s dedicated Health Sector Renewal Fund. This transforms recruitment from a predatory act into a partnership with tangible restitution for system strengthening.

Fourth, for Medical and Nursing Educational Institutions, curricular reform is necessary to build resilient, context-adapted practitioners.

- i. **Integrate ‘Health System Leadership, Advocacy, and Innovation’ into Core Curricula:** Mandate modules that train students not only in clinical medicine but also in health systems science, policy advocacy, telemedicine application, and low-resource innovation. Empower the next generation to be change agents within the system, not just passive victims of its failures.

Fifth, for International Funding Agencies and Philanthropic Organizations, a strategic shift in investment is required.

- i. **Pivot Funding to Health Workforce Retention and System Resiliency:** A significant portion of development aid for health should be explicitly earmarked for initiatives that directly improve retention: grants for hospital infrastructure upgrades, subsidies for secure staff housing, low-interest loans for clinicians to establish group practices in underserved areas, and funding for robust mental health and burnout prevention programs for health workers.

Ultimately, stemming the ‘Japa’ tide is a test of ethical commitment and political will. It requires all stakeholders domestic and global to move beyond rhetoric and undertake the difficult, just, and necessary work of repairing the broken foundations of Nigeria’s health system. The alternative is the continued erosion of a fundamental human right: the right to health.

Conclusion

The ‘Japa’ phenomenon is far more than a demographic or economic trend; it is a symptomatic eruption of a profound socio-ethical breakdown in Nigeria’s health governance and its broader social contract. Viewing it through a simplistic lens of individual betrayal or lack of patriotism fundamentally obscures the deeper, structural failures at play. The accelerating exodus of healthcare professionals from Southwestern Nigeria is a rational, if distressing, response to a system that has failed to guarantee their security, dignity, and professional viability. The ethical framework of a broken social contract provides a more accurate and just explanatory model, apportioning primary responsibility to systemic and governance failures. Consequently, addressing this crisis requires moving beyond palliative,

restrictive measures such as enforcing bonding agreements or vilifying emigrants toward fundamental, ethically informed, and multi-level restorative strategies.. The goal must be to repair the breached covenant and make the choice to practice in Nigeria a rationally and ethically attractive one.

References

- Adebayo, O. K., Udegbe, I. B., & Fapohunda, B. M. (2021). Determinants of migration intentions of Nigerian health professionals: An economic perspective. *International Journal of Healthcare Management, 14*(3), 872-880.
- Anyangwe, S. C., & Mtonga, C. (2007). Inequities in the global health workforce: The greatest impediment to health in sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 4*(2), 93-100.
- Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Benatar, S. R. (2007). An examination of ethical aspects of migration and recruitment of health care professionals from developing countries. *Clinical Ethics, 2*(1), 2-7.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77-101.
- Brock, G. (2009). *Global justice: A cosmopolitan account*. Oxford University Press.
- Chikwelu, O. C., & Okonta, P. I. (2022). Brain drain among Nigerian medical doctors: A review of the literature (2010-2021). *Nigerian Journal of Medicine, 31*(2), 123-130.
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Daniels, N. (2008). *Just health: Meeting health needs fairly*. Cambridge University Press.
- Gostin, L. O., & Friedman, E. A. (2015). The Sustainable Development Goals: One-Health in the world's development agenda. *JAMA, 314*(24), 2621-2622.
- Hirschman, A. O. (1970). *Exit, voice, and loyalty: Responses to decline in firms, organizations, and states*. Harvard University Press.
- International Organization for Migration (IOM). (2023). *Nigeria: Migration and health data*. IOM Nigeria.
- Mackintosh, M., Mensah, K., Henry, L., & Rowson, M. (2006). Aid, restitution, and fiscal redistribution in health care: Implications of health professionals' migration. *Journal of International Development, 18*(6), 757-770.
- Mills, A. (2011). Health care systems in low- and middle-income countries. *New England Journal of Medicine, 365*(6), 552-557.
- National Bureau of Statistics. (2024). *Consumer price index March 2024*. NBS.
- Obioma, U. C., Ezeoke, I. E., & Adebowale, O. S. (2023). "Japa" and the future of Nigeria's health sector: A qualitative exploration of motivations and implications. *Globalization and Health, 19*(1), 45.
- Oluwasanu, M. M., Adebayo, A. M., & Oduola, A. O. (2021). Migration intentions of Nigerian medical and nursing students: A cross-sectional survey. *BMJ Open, 11*(7), e047322.
- Pogge, T. (2005). World poverty and human rights. *Ethics & International Affairs, 19*(1), 1-7.
- Salifu, G. (2024). " The Renewal of Religious Moral Values As Panacea to the Problem of Kidnapping and Sanctity of Life in Nigeria " In Adetunji Fatokun, et. al (eds). In *Religion, The Sanctity of Life And Nation Building*. A publication of the Department of Religious Studies, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Taylor, A. L., & Dhillon, I. S. (2011). The WHO Global Code of Practice on the International Recruitment of Health Personnel: The evolution of global health diplomacy. *Global Health Governance, 5*(1), 1-24.
- Walzer, M. (1983). *Spheres of justice: A defense of pluralism and equality*. Basic Books.
- World Health Organization. (2010). *WHO Global Code of Practice on the International Recruitment of Health Personnel*. World Health Assembly Resolution WHA63.16.
- World Health Organization. (2016). *Global strategy on human resources for health: Workforce 2030*. WHO.
- World Health Organization. (2022). *Health workforce support and safeguards list 2023*. WHO.
- Wu, A. W. (2000). Medical error: The second victim. *BMJ, 320*(7237), 726-727.