



JOURNAL OF LEADERSHIP AND DEVELOPMENT

Volume 1, Number 3 (December, 2025)

ISSN: 1595-9457 (online); 3093-0618 (print)

Website: <https://jppssuniuyo.com/jld> Email: jppssuniuyo@gmail.com

Received: December 22, 2025 Accepted: December 30, 2025 Published: December 31, 2025

Citation: Iliaro, Owere A. E. (2025). "The Interplay of Philosophy, Values and Good Governance." *Journal of Leadership and Development*, 1 (3): 172-183.

Article

Open Access

THE INTERPLAY OF PHILOSOPHY, VALUES AND GOOD GOVERNANCE

Owere Agatha Ejidje Iliaro

Directorate of General Studies, Federal University of Petroleum Resources, Effurun

Email: owere2017@gmail.com

Abstract

Philosophy as an academic discipline plays a pivotal role in shaping good governance. It provides the bedrock for understanding the ethical dimensions of governance. Good governance is built on some key values such as fairness, accountability and transparency. These are shaped by philosophical reflections on what is just and right. This paper focuses on the fundamental relationship between philosophy, values and good governance. It places emphasis on how philosophical thought influences the moral principles that underpin political actions. With the method of analysis, the paper further explores major philosophical ideas on virtue and leadership as well as the concept of justice, and how they inform decisions that impact society. It argues that philosophy helps leaders prioritize the public good over personal gains by ensuring policies that benefit everyone. The paper suggests a proper understanding of how philosophical values connect with real-world governance and how it can guide leaders to navigate the complexities of balancing competing interests while striving for justice. The paper concludes that by embracing philosophy, societies can develop leadership that upholds ethical principles, paving the way for a fairer, more just, and prosperous future for all citizens.

Keywords: Philosophy, Governance, Ethical Principles, Justice, Leadership.

Introduction

Philosophy is a fundamental discipline that examines the nature of knowledge, reality, and human existence. Within the realm of governance, it becomes particularly pertinent to understand the underlying values that shape decision-making processes and policies. Good governance encompasses the principles and practices that facilitate effective decision-making, transparency, accountability, and the equitable distribution of resources within a

Copyright © 2025 By JLD. Publishers: Omega Books

This is an open access article which permits unrestricted use provided the work is properly cited.

society. It aims to foster an environment that promotes social justice, economic development, and the well-being of its citizens. Philosophy contributes to good governance by providing a moral compass, enabling policymakers to make informed choices based on ethical considerations and long-term societal interests. It helps establish a foundation for policies that prioritize the common good over individual or sectional interests. This paper highlights the significance of philosophical inquiry in shaping our understanding of value systems and their implications for good governance. It also emphasizes the critical role of good governance in fostering a just and prosperous society, grounded in ethical principles and guided by philosophical reflections on the nature of the good. It delves into the fundamental questions of ethics, exploring concepts such as justice, equality, and the common good. The paper concludes that through philosophical discourse, societies can critically examine prevailing values, assess their implications, and refine their understanding of what constitutes a just and virtuous society; and that by recognizing the significance of philosophy in shaping value systems and governance models, societies can strive towards more just, equitable, and prosperous futures.

Philosophy and Good Governance

Philosophy and good governance are deeply intertwined, as philosophy provides the ethical and moral foundation upon which governance is built. Philosophy, true to its nature of critical inquisition and evaluation, explores fundamental questions about justice, power, and human well-being and ultimately guiding the principles that shape effective governance. Good governance, in turn, involves the responsible and fair management of society's resources and institutions, with a focus on transparency, accountability, and inclusivity. By drawing on philosophical ideas of justice, equality, and the common good, governance can ensure that policies and actions serve not only the interests of those in power but the entire citizenry.

Good Governance: Good governance, as defined by Bevir (2013, p. 25), is a concept that highlights the need for responsive, transparent, and accountable governing, while emphasizing equality and the rule of law. These principles ensure that government actions meet the needs of its citizens and align with core democratic values. Plato, in his *Republic*, argued that good governance requires the wisdom of philosopher-kings, rulers who make decisions based on knowledge of the common good (Plato, trans. 1992, p. 370). For Plato, governance is not only about managing resources but about ensuring that those in power act in accordance with reason and justice, which benefits all members of society. Similarly, Aristotle, in his *Politics*, identified that governance should aim at achieving the best outcome for all citizens, through a system that respects the laws and encourages active participation (Aristotle, trans. 1984, p. 136). Both philosophers stress the importance of leadership and moral responsibility, which are essential to achieving good governance in a fair and just society. In the modern context, scholars like Pierre and Peters, expanded the definition of good governance by emphasizing the interactive processes between the state, society, and market actors. They argue that effective policy-making and implementation arise from these collaborations, where various actors such as government institutions, private enterprises, and civil society; work together to achieve common goals (Pierre and Peters, 2000, p. 3). This broader view aligns with the concept of *multilevel governance*, where power and decision-making are decentralized and shared among different levels of government and private sectors. The shift towards multilevel governance emphasizes the need for inclusivity, transparency, and cooperation across various sectors of society to achieve sustainable

outcomes. Thus, good governance today is not just about the actions of the government but about the effective interplay between various actors that ensure policies are shaped, implemented, and evaluated transparently and accountably.

Principles of Good Governance

Good governance mediates differing interests to reach a broad consensus on what is in the best interest of the community and how this can be achieved (Stoker, G.1998, p.38). Some of these principles include:

- i. **Rule of Law:** The rule of law is the cornerstone of good governance. It ensures that all individuals, institutions, and government officials are subject to and accountable under the law. Plato, in his *Republic*, emphasized that laws should be rational and serve the common good, suggesting that the state must be governed by the wisdom of the philosopher-kings to ensure justice (Plato, trans. 1992, p. 370). Aristotle, too, in *Politics*, argued that laws should promote virtue and prevent corruption, viewing them as a means to ensure the moral well-being of the community (Aristotle, trans. 1984, p. 134). In modern contexts, the rule of law entails not only the creation of laws but also their fair and equitable enforcement. This principle was highlighted by Kaufmann, Kraay, and Zoido-Lobaton, who argued that legal frameworks must be fair, transparent, and applied equally to all citizens (Kaufmann, et al, 1999, p. 4).
- ii. **Accountability:** Accountability is a fundamental principle of good governance that ensures that public officials are answerable for their actions and responsive to the people who grant them authority. John Locke, in his *Second Treatises of Government*, laid the groundwork for accountability in governance, advocating for the idea that governmental power is derived from the consent of the governed and must be exercised in a manner that serves the public good (Locke, 1980, p. 255). Similarly, Machiavelli, in *The Prince*, acknowledged that rulers must maintain power but also be aware of their subjects' grievances, stressing the need for leaders to be responsive to public sentiment in order to maintain stability (Machiavelli, 1998, p. 40). Hence, accountability is vital to ensuring that public servants are held to high ethical standards, which, in turn, fosters trust between citizens and their governments (Hyden and Bratton, 1992, p. 10).
- iii. **Effectiveness and Efficiency:** The principles of effectiveness and efficiency in governance demand that government institutions and processes deliver results that meet societal needs as well as make optimal use of the available resources. According to Aristotle, effective governance requires the state to operate with wisdom and foresight in ensuring the welfare of its people. His concept of human flourishing (*eudaimonia*), aligns with this principle. It suggests that governance should aim to achieve the highest good for all citizens (Aristotle, trans. 1984, p. 142). The modern interpretation of effectiveness and efficiency has become central to the functioning of public institutions. The OECD (2001, p. 20), highlights that public institutions should not only be responsive to the needs of society but must do so efficiently, ensuring that resources are used in a way that maximizes benefits for the public. Kant, in his *Critique of Pure Reason*, emphasizes that reason should be used to guide actions, echoing the idea that rational, well-planned decisions are essential for achieving efficient outcomes in governance (Kant, 1998, p. 50).

Values in Decision Making

Values play a crucial role in decision-making because they act as guiding principles, helping individuals or organizations make choices that align with their beliefs, priorities, and long-term goals. Here is how values influence decision-making:

- i. **Clarifying Priorities:** Values play a critical role in clarifying priorities during decision-making. According to Aristotle, the pursuit of the *good life* is guided by virtue and rationality, both of which are deeply tied to one's personal values (Aristotle, trans. 1984, p. 42). In decision-making, values help individuals and organizations determine what matters most in terms of relationships, career, health, or finances. John Locke, in *Second Treatises of Government*, also suggested that individuals are entitled to prioritize their own well-being and happiness, which ultimately reflects personal values that shape choices (Locke, 1980, p. 256). Ultimately, the clarity provided by values helps eliminate ambiguity, allowing for a clearer understanding of priorities and a more consistent approach to decision-making. For example, a healthcare organization with strong values centred on patient welfare, will prioritize care quality and patient satisfaction over financial profits.
- ii. **Providing Consistency:** Values provide the necessary foundation for consistency in decision-making, which is crucial for building trust and credibility. As Kant noted in *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*, consistency is rooted in acting in accordance with universal principles that respect the autonomy and dignity of others (Kant, 1998, p. 52). A consistent value system allows individuals to make decisions that are predictable and aligned with their broader principles. For instance, in business, an organization that upholds values like transparency and integrity will consistently act in ways that reinforce those ideals, whether dealing with customers, employees, or shareholders. This consistency fosters trust, as people know what to expect from an individual or organization based on their values. Machiavelli, in *The Prince*, discussed how rulers must balance pragmatic decision-making with a sense of predictability in order to maintain their power (Machiavelli, 1998, p. 72). However, consistency must not be rigid; it should allow for adaptation within the context of established principles to maintain credibility and trust.
- iii. **Improving Focus:** When faced with multiple decisions, values serve as a compass that helps individuals maintain focus by narrowing down options to those that align with their core beliefs. Aristotle's idea of practical wisdom (*phronesis*), illustrates how decision-makers should consider not just the immediate consequences of their actions, but their alignment with a larger, virtuous goal (Aristotle, trans. 1984, p. 200). Similarly, Locke suggests that individuals often choose options that resonate with their deepest beliefs (Locke, 1980, p. 262), leading to decisions that enhance personal fulfilment and integrity. In other words, values make complex decisions easier by removing irrelevant alternatives, which are inconsistent with one's principles. For example, a person with a strong value of environmental sustainability might reject job offers from companies with poor environmental practices, even if the compensation is attractive.
- iv. **Guiding Ethical Choices:** Values are essential for maintaining ethical standards during decision-making. Kant's categorical imperative stresses the importance of making decisions based on principles that can be universally applied without compromising the moral autonomy of others (Kant, 1998, p. 30). In business or personal life, decisions aligned with deeply held values ensure that ethical boundaries are respected, even in the face of temptation or external pressures. For instance, a company's commitment to

sustainability values ensures that it does not resort to unethical practices, such as exploiting labour or polluting the environment, even when these actions might lead to higher short-term profits. Aristotle also emphasized that the pursuit of virtue entails making ethical decisions that contribute to the common good and personal flourishing (Aristotle, trans. 1984, p. 118). By guiding ethical choices, values serve as a moral compass, preventing individuals from straying into actions that could harm their integrity or reputation.

- v. **Encouraging Accountability:** Value-based decision-making fosters accountability, as actions are grounded in principles that are personally significant. According to Locke, individuals are responsible for their actions and must be held accountable, particularly when those actions are guided by the pursuit of life, liberty, and property (Locke, 1980, p. 258). Accountability is easier to maintain when decisions are made in accordance with one's values, as it becomes clear whether a decision aligns with the ethical principles one has committed to. For example, a leader who values transparency will be more likely to hold themselves accountable to stakeholders, ensuring their actions reflect their stated values. Accountability also leads to higher trust and credibility, as individuals and organizations are seen as consistent and committed to doing the right thing. Machiavelli, while often seen as advocating for manipulation and power, acknowledged that rulers must maintain a sense of accountability to their subjects to avoid rebellion and loss of trust (Machiavelli, 1998, p. 56).
- vi. **Promoting Long-term Thinking:** Decisions grounded in values tend to emphasize long-term benefits over short-term gains. Kant's philosophy of duty, as laid out in the *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*, emphasizes that decisions should be made with a consideration for their long-term impact, ensuring that they respect moral laws that guide future generations (Kant, 1998, p. 60). For example, an organization that values social responsibility will invest in sustainable practices, knowing that these will yield long-term benefits, even if they are costly in the short run. Aristotle's concept of the ultimate purpose or end (*telos*), suggests that decisions should align with one's larger life goals, which are often long-term in nature (Aristotle, trans. 1984, p. 206). By promoting long-term thinking, values help individuals and organizations focus on their larger vision, whether it's securing financial stability, improving health, or fostering a meaningful legacy.

Ethical Considerations in Governance

Ethical considerations in decision-making involve evaluating choices to ensure that they align with moral principles and the well-being of all affected parties. Below is how ethics play a vital role in the decision-making process:

- i. **Respect for Others:** Respect for others is a fundamental principle in ethical governance. It emphasizes fairness, equality, and human dignity. Plato, in *The Republic*, argues that justice in society can only be achieved when individuals recognize the inherent worth of others, ensuring fairness in distribution and treatment (Plato, trans. 2003, p. 20). Aristotle expands on this idea, asserting that justice is about giving each person their due, which includes treating people with respect (Aristotle, 1999, p. 32). A modern example of this principle in practice is the implementation of anti-discrimination laws in many countries, which seek to ensure that individuals are treated equally regardless of race, gender, or background.

- ii. **Transparency:** Transparency in governance is crucial for maintaining public trust and accountability. Kant, in his *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*, emphasizes that ethical actions must be performed openly and in a way that respects the autonomy of others (Kant, 2002, p. 76). Transparency allows citizens to understand the motivations behind decisions, making governance more accountable and less prone to corruption. When leaders are transparent, they make ethical decisions that can be scrutinized, improving accountability and fostering a greater sense of public trust. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, transparent communication about health policies and decision-making processes helped build public trust and compliance.
- iii. **Accountability:** Accountability is a key ethical principle that ensures leaders are answerable for their actions and decisions. Locke, in his *Second Treatise of Government*, argues that rulers must be accountable to the people, who have the right to challenge government actions (Locke, 1980, p. 124). This principle ensures that leaders do not act in self-interest but instead remain responsible to those they govern. In practice, this could be seen in the role of institutions like the *Office of the Auditor-General*, which holds governments accountable by auditing public funds and expenditures. A real-world example of accountability can be found in the impeachment proceedings of politicians, such as the case of former President Dilma Rousseff of Brazil, who was impeached for allegedly violating budgetary laws in August, 2016. In Nigeria for example, the *Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC)*, investigates and prosecutes financial crimes, ensuring that leaders and public officials are held accountable for corruption and misuse of public funds. The case of former Governor Joshua Dariye of Plateau State, readily comes to mind. By holding leaders accountable, citizens ensure that their rights and public resources are protected.
- iv. **Balancing Interests:** Ethical decision-making often requires balancing various interests, such as personal, organizational, and societal needs. Aristotle, in *Nicomachean Ethics*, proposes that ethical decisions are those that strike a balance between extremes, fostering the "golden mean" (Aristotle, 1999, p. 58). In governance, this means that leaders must consider the interests of all stakeholders and make decisions that benefit the broader society. For example, when governments face budget cuts, they must balance the interests of public workers, taxpayers, and the economy as a whole. In times of economic recession, ethical decision-making requires governments to prioritize social welfare while maintaining fiscal responsibility.
- v. **Integrity and Consistency:** Integrity and consistency are fundamental to ethical decision-making. Machiavelli, while often associated with pragmatic decision-making, acknowledges the importance of maintaining authority and credibility in governance (Machiavelli, 2003, p. 42). Integrity involves adhering to ethical principles even when it is difficult or unpopular. Consistency on the other hand, ensures that actions align with those principles over time. An example of integrity in governance is seen in leaders who uphold the rule of law, even when it goes against political pressure. For instance, New Zealand's Prime Minister, Jacinda Ardern, demonstrated integrity when she implemented strict gun control laws following the Christchurch Mosque shootings, despite potential political fallout (Every-Palmer et al., 2021, p.5). Her consistent ethical approach to leadership earned her public trust and respect. Thus, ethical governance requires that leaders act with integrity, maintaining consistency between their values and their actions, even in challenging situations.

- vi. **Avoiding Conflicts of Interest:** Ethical governance necessitates avoiding conflicts of interest, ensuring decisions are made for the public good rather than personal gain. Locke, in his *Second Treatise*, stresses that leaders must prioritize the collective good over personal interests (Locke, 1980, p. 119). Conflicts of interest can undermine public trust and lead to decisions that benefit individuals at the expense of society. For example, the *Panama Papers* leak revealed numerous instances of world leaders and public officials hiding wealth in offshore tax havens, leading to public outcry and calls for greater transparency (International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, 2016, para. 1). Governments can mitigate conflicts of interest by instituting policies that require officials to disclose financial interests and recuse themselves from decisions where there is a potential personal gain. By avoiding conflicts of interest, leaders can ensure that their decisions are made impartially thereby reflecting ethical principles and maintaining public trust.
- vii. **Long-term Consequences:** Ethical decision-making requires consideration of the long-term consequences of actions, ensuring that decisions made today do not have harmful effects in the future. Plato, in *The Republic*, advocates for the pursuit of long-term societal harmony over short-term gains (Plato, trans. 2003, p. 71). Ethical governance often requires foresight and the willingness to make difficult decisions for the sake of future generations. For instance, climate change policies that aim to reduce carbon emissions, though often costly in the short term, are essential for the long-term health of the planet.
- viii. **Empathy and Compassion:** Empathy and compassion are essential components of ethical governance, as they enable leaders to understand the needs and perspectives of others. Kant, in his *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*, argues that ethical actions must respect the dignity and autonomy of others, which can be achieved through empathy (Kant, 2002, p. 92). Empathy allows leaders to connect with the experiences of those affected by their decisions and make more informed, compassionate choices. An example is the leadership of Angela Merkel, the former Chancellor of Germany, during the 2015 refugee crisis. Merkel's decision to open Germany's borders to refugees, based on compassion and empathy for their suffering, demonstrated ethical leadership that prioritized human dignity over political expediency.

The Role of Philosophy in Good Governance

Philosophy plays an essential role in shaping the practices of good governance. Its influence is deeply rooted in ethical reasoning, critical thinking, and the pursuit of justice and truth. By studying philosophy, we gain ethical frameworks that guide governance, ensuring decisions prioritize justice, fairness, and the common good. As Aristotle argued in *Nicomachean Ethics*, the purpose of governance is to promote the "good life" for citizens by fostering virtue and well-being (Aristotle, 1999, Ch. 9, § 8). According to him, governance rooted in virtuous leadership ensures that leaders guide society in ways that enhance the moral character of individuals and the collective good. Philosophers like John Rawls emphasize fairness as a cornerstone of political and social institutions. In his *A Theory of Justice*, Rawls introduces the concept of the "original position" and the "veil of ignorance" to argue that governance systems should be built on principles of equality and fairness (Rawls, 1971, pp. 3–20). By removing personal biases in decision-making, Rawls contends that governance becomes more just, as policies are designed with the well-being of all, especially the least advantaged, in mind. This focus on fairness is crucial in a world where political systems are often skewed

by inequality and the interests of a few. Furthermore, philosophy promotes rational deliberation and critical inquiry, which are indispensable for transparent and effective governance. Immanuel Kant, in his *Groundwork for the Metaphysics of Morals*, emphasizes the importance of rational decision-making grounded in universal moral principles. For Kant, ethical decisions must respect the dignity of individuals and adhere to principles that could be universally applied (Kant, 2017, pp. 15–18). This focus on rationality ensures that governance is not only efficient but also morally sound, addressing complex societal issues with integrity.

One of the primary roles of philosophy in governance is to provide clarity in the concepts of justice, fairness, and morality. These concepts are often misunderstood or misapplied, and philosophy helps carve out clear definitions and understanding. By grounding governance in well-thought-out ethical principles, philosophy ensures that leaders are guided by values that promote the common good rather than personal gain. Philosophy challenges governance systems to be grounded in values that transcend individual or partisan interests, fostering a sense of collective responsibility and moral duty. Moreover, the study of philosophy encourages leaders to appreciate the necessity of critical thinking and careful deliberation before making policies. Philosophy cultivates a mindset that considers not only the immediate consequences of decisions but also their long-term impact on society. Leaders who embrace philosophical principles like truth, honesty, and accountability are more likely to enact policies that are beneficial to society as a whole. In a system of governance where these values are central, politics becomes a respected profession rather than one held in contempt.

The Relationship between Philosophy, Values and Good Governance

The connection between philosophy, values, and good governance is profound and interdependent. Philosophy provides the intellectual framework that shapes the values upon which governance is built. These values include justice, equity, transparency, and accountability. These serve as the foundation for ethical, just, and effective governance as they aligned with the well-being of society.

Philosophy as a Foundation for Value

Philosophy plays a pivotal role in identifying, defining, and prioritizing the values essential for good governance. By exploring the works of early philosophers like Plato and Aristotle, we come to understand that good governance is not merely about the exercise of power; it is about ensuring that those in power act in ways that promote justice, equity, and the public good. Philosophy shapes the foundation upon which ethical governance is built, making it central to the values that guide decision-making and leadership. In *The Republic*, Plato argues that the ideal ruler must be someone whose understanding goes beyond mere material interests and personal gain. Instead, the ruler should be guided by a deep commitment to truth and justice. This philosophy suggests that a pursuit of knowledge and wisdom, which philosophy encourages, is essential for anyone in a position of power. For Plato, good governance requires more than just a system of laws; it demands leaders who can see beyond the surface of political and social issues to understand the deeper moral implications of their decisions. This perspective reflects Plato's belief that only those trained in philosophy can ensure a system that is truly just (Plato, 2016, p. 205). This idealistic view, while influential, is further developed by modern thinkers like John Locke, who built upon these philosophical foundations to argue that a government's legitimacy stems from the natural rights of its citizens such as life, liberty, and property.

Locke's assertion that governments are formed to protect the natural rights of individuals also highlights the importance of inclusiveness in governance. He is of the opinion that people enter into a social contract not to be ruled by a tyrant but to collectively create a system that ensures the protection of their freedoms. For Locke, good governance depends on the active participation of citizens in a system that guarantees their rights (Locke, 2016, p. 15). His idea continues to serve as a cornerstone of democratic governance till date. This demonstrates how philosophical thought can shape governance structures that prioritize fairness, equality, and justice.

Values as the Basis of Good Governance

Values are essential benchmarks for evaluating the practices of governance, shaping the principles that governments and institutions should uphold to ensure they meet societal expectations. These values not only provide a foundation for the actions of political leaders but also guide the creation of policies that promote fairness, equity, and the well-being of citizens. Key values like transparency and accountability are critical in preventing corruption, promoting trust, and ensuring that governments act in the best interest of their people. As highlighted by the UNDP (1997, p. 2), these values foster a relationship of trust between the government and its citizens, which is vital for maintaining social stability and public confidence. Moreover, values such as justice, fairness, and honesty serve as the moral compass for decision-making in governance. These values ensure that policies are not only effective but also ethical, fostering a governance system that respects the rights and dignity of all stakeholders. Aristotle's concept of virtue ethics underscores the importance of the moral character of leaders. It emphasizes that the effectiveness of governance hinges on leaders who act with integrity and virtue. According to him, leaders who embody virtues such as wisdom, courage, and temperance are better equipped to make decisions that benefit society as a whole, rather than acting out of self-interest (Aristotle, 2016, p. 45). In essence, the values of justice, accountability, and ethical leadership form the bedrock of good governance. These values guide leaders in creating policies that are just, fair, and in alignment with the needs of the society.

The Influence of Philosophy on Decision-Making in Governance

Philosophy profoundly influences decision-making in governance by providing ethical frameworks, guiding principles, and analytical tools that shape how leaders address complex societal issues. Philosophical thought encourages rational deliberation, moral reasoning, and a focus on justice and fairness, ensuring governance is effective and aligned with societal well-being. Studying philosophy fosters logical reasoning and critical thinking, enabling governance to tackle complex issues systematically and effectively. In Aristotle's *Nicomachean Ethics*, he emphasizes practical wisdom (*phronesis*) in decision-making, combining moral insight with practical reasoning (Aristotle, 1999, Ch. 6, § 5).

Conflicting Philosophical Perspectives

As has been shown over time, there is hardly a philosophical stance that everyone accepts. Philosophers argue about the role and importance of philosophy as the basis for good governance. Some say governance should be guided by philosophical principles, while others say practical concerns or power dynamics matter more. Plato's idealism suggests that governance should be led by philosopher-kings, people with true wisdom and understanding of justice. This idea is still discussed in scholarship that links his political thought to

contemporary debates about expertise, knowledge, and government legitimacy (Schofield, 2006, pp. 45-50).

On the other hand, Niccolò Machiavelli challenges the idea that idealistic philosophy should guide rulers. He focuses on realistic power dynamics and how leaders actually maintain power, not how they should ideally behave. His approach emphasizes practical outcomes over moral or philosophical ideals (Machiavelli, 2009, pp. 60-65), and modern interpreters argue his realism laid the groundwork for contemporary political realism. John Rawls argues for a governance system rooted in moral philosophy, with justice as fairness at its core. He claims society should be structured so that basic rights and opportunities are fairly distributed, and he defends this view in his later work that revisits and refines his *Theory of Justice* framework (Rawls, 2001, pp. 30-35). Carl Schmitt critiques the idea of governance based solely on abstract philosophical principles. He sees politics as a realm of conflict, power, and decisive action, where enforcing order matters more than achieving ideal justice (Schmitt, 2005, pp. 15-20).

Balancing Values in Governance

The debate over whether philosophy should serve as the foundation for good governance revolves around the tension between idealistic philosophical principles and the practical realities of political and social life. While some argue that governance rooted in philosophical ideals ensures fairness, justice, and moral integrity, others contend that philosophy can be too abstract or detached from the complexities of real-world decision-making. Balancing these views requires a nuanced approach that acknowledges the value of philosophical thought while also accommodating the pragmatic needs of governance. Making a case for philosophy, it can be argued that philosophy provides a moral and ethical framework that can guide decision-making, ensuring that governance is aligned with principles of justice, fairness, and respect for human dignity. Philosophical perspectives such as Rawls' *Theory of Justice* or Kant's *Deontology* advocate for creating systems where the rights and equality of individuals are central to policy-making. John Rawls proposes that good governance should aim for justice by ensuring that social inequalities benefit the least advantaged. His "difference principle" provides a philosophical basis for addressing disparities in society. (Rawls, 1971, pp.52-60). However, it must be admitted that governance involves managing a diversity of perspectives and conflicting values. What is considered "just" or "moral" can vary greatly across cultures and societies. On this ground therefore, relativists can argue that philosophy cannot impose universal principles on governance without disregarding the lived experiences and values of different groups. Michel Foucault critiques the idea of universal moral truths, asserting that power dynamics and cultural contexts must influence governance decisions. (Foucault, 1977, pp.150-155). This therefore shows that balancing views on philosophy as the foundation of good governance requires recognizing the value of philosophical principles in shaping justice, fairness, and ethical leadership, while also acknowledging the need for practical, adaptable solutions. A balanced governance model integrates philosophical insights with pragmatic considerations, allowing for governance that is both principled and responsive to the diverse, evolving needs of society.

Criticisms of Applying Philosophy as the Basis of Good Governance

While philosophy provides valuable frameworks for thinking about justice, ethics, and the role of the state, there are significant criticisms of using philosophy as the sole or primary basis for governance. These criticisms generally focus on the perceived impracticality, idealism, and limitations of applying abstract philosophical principles in real-world

governance. In the first instance, it is true that many philosophical theories that borders on politics are abstract and do not account for the complexities and contingencies of real-world political life. Political philosophers, such as Plato and Rawls, outline ideals about governance that does seem to be distant from the practical realities of managing diverse societies with competing interests. For example, Plato's concept of philosopher-kings or Rawls' "veil of ignorance," can be seen as far-fetched and unrealistic in practice, as they assume a level of rationality and moral commitment from leaders and citizens that may not exist in real-world politics. The concept of the "just ruler" or philosopher-king, as proposed by Plato, overlooks the complexities of political power, corruption, and human nature.

Again, philosophical models of governance may lack the adaptability needed to respond to changing circumstances, technological advances, and evolving societal needs. Philosophical doctrines can become dogmatic, stifling innovation and responsiveness in governance. Political systems that strictly adhere to philosophical ideals may struggle to address urgent, practical issues such as economic crises, climate change, or global pandemics. A good example is a rigid adherence to the philosophical principle of individual freedom. This may hinder the government's ability to implement public health measures during a health crisis, such as mandatory vaccinations or lockdowns. Also, philosophical ideas about universal values, such as human rights or justice, often clash with cultural relativism, which argues that values are context-dependent and shaped by cultural and historical factors. Imposing a singular philosophical framework on governance may overlook the richness of cultural diversity. A philosophy-driven approach to governance can be perceived as ethnocentric or imperialistic, especially in multicultural or post-colonial contexts. This approach might ignore or marginalize indigenous or alternative ways of governing. For example, the western concept of democracy, derived from philosophers like John Locke or Immanuel Kant, may not easily translate into governance models in societies with different political traditions and values. (Foucault, 1977, pp.150-155).

Conclusion

In its most basic form, philosophy is the pursuit of wisdom, a rigorous search into the nature of reality, morality, and human purpose. It stands as the foundation upon which systems of meaning, ethical frameworks, and societal norms are constructed. Among its myriad concerns, the question of value is pivotal, probing what is deemed important or good in both man's individual and collective life. When stretched to governance, these philosophical inquiries become even more important, as the essence of governance itself is the establishment of society in a manner that reflects shared values that promotes the common good. Good governance therefore, is not merely the exercise of authority or the management of power; it is the embodiment of values that reflect justice, equity, and the well-being of all. At its heart, it requires a philosophical grounding in the nature of justice, the legitimacy of power, and the ethical responsibilities of leaders. Through philosophy, we are equipped to critique the moral dimensions of governance, ensuring that the systems we uphold are not only efficient but also just and humane. In this context, the exploration of value in governance raises profound questions bordering on the ultimate aim of leadership, balancing the interests of the individual against those of the collective. And most critically, what principles should guide the distribution of power in a manner that promotes fairness, accountability, and the flourishing of all citizens. Hence, philosophy is not only a tool for understanding governance but an essential companion in striving toward governance that is well and truly good.

References

- Aristotle. (1999). *Nicomachean Ethics* (W. D. Ross, Trans.). Batoche Books. (Original work published 350 BCE)
- Aristotle. (2016). *Nicomachean ethics* (W. D. Ross, Trans.). The Project Gutenberg. (Original work published 350 BCE)
- Bevir, M. (2013). *A Theory of Governance*. University of California Press.
- Every-Palmer, S., Cunningham, R., Jenkins, M., & Bell, E. (2021). The Christchurch Mosque Shooting, the Media, and Subsequent Gun Control Reform in New Zealand: A Descriptive Analysis. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*, 28(2), 274–285. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8547820/>
- Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison*. Pantheon Books.
- Hyden, G., & Bratton, M. (1992). *Governance and Politics in Africa*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- International Consortium of Investigative Journalists. (2016, April 3). *The Panama Papers: Exposing the Rogue Offshore Finance Industry*. <https://www.icij.org/investigations/panama-papers/>
- Kant, I. (2002). *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals* (H. J. Paton, Trans.). HarperCollins. (Original work published 1785)
- Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A., & Zoido-Lobatón, P. (1999). *Governance Matters*. World Bank Institute.
- Locke, J. (1980). *Second Treatise of Government* (C. B. Macpherson, Ed.). Hackett Publishing. (Original work published 1689)
- Machiavelli, N. (2009). *The Prince* (W. K. Marriott, Trans.). Dover Publications. (Original work published 1513)
- OECD. (2001). *Citizens as Partners: OECD Handbook on Information, Consultation and Public Participation in Policy-making*. OECD Publishing.
- Pierre, J., & Peters, B. G. (2000). *Governance, Politics, and the State*. Macmillan.
- Plato. (2003). *The Republic* (B. Jowett, Trans.). Dover Publications. (Original work published 380 BCE)
- Rawls, J. (1971). *A Theory of Justice*. Harvard University Press.
- Rawls, J. (2001). *Justice as Fairness: A Restatement*. Harvard University Press.
- Schmitt, C. (2005). *Political Theology: Four Chapters on the Concept of Sovereignty* (G. Schwab, Trans.). University of Chicago Press. (Original work published 1922)
- Schofield, M. (2006). *Plato: Political Philosophy*. Oxford University Press.
- Stoker, G. (1998). *Governance as Theory: Five Propositions*. Blackwell Publishing.
- UNDP. (1997). *Governance for Sustainable Human Development*. United Nations Development Programme.