



JOURNAL OF LEADERSHIP AND DEVELOPMENT

Volume 1, Number 3 (December, 2025)

ISSN: 1595-9457 (online); 3093-0618 (print)

Website: <https://jppssuniuyo.com/jld> Email: jppssuniuyo@gmail.com

Received: December 15, 2025 Accepted: December 30, 2025 Published: December 31, 2025

Citation: Ukata, Emmanuel E. (2025). "Culture and Identity: An Anthropological Perspective." *Journal of Leadership and Development*, 1 (3): 107-115.

Article

Open Access

CULTURE AND IDENTITY: AN ANTHROPOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE

Emmanuel Egbe Ukata

Department of Philosophy, St. Augustine's Institute of Philosophy, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria

Email: michaelokewu905@gmail.com

Abstract

Culture and identity nexus indicates a deep dynamic and intertwined relationship. While culture shapes individuals identity, identity tends to influence cultural practices, thus creating a continuous feedback loop, social cohesion and the navigation of heritage and modernity. This article examined the interrelationship between culture and identity from an anthropological perspective, emphasizing culture as the primary framework through which identities are formed, expressed, and transformed. Drawing from classical and contemporary anthropological theories, the study conceptualizes identity not as a fixed or essential attribute but as a dynamic and socially constructed process shaped by shared meanings, symbols, practices, and power relations. The paper explores how cultural norms, language, rituals, and collective memory contribute to both individual and collective identities, while also attending to differences related to ethnicity, gender, class, and religion. Particular attention was given to the impact of globalization, migration, and modernity on identity formation, highlighting processes of hybridity, negotiation, and cultural change. The article further interrogated the role of power, colonial histories, and inequality in shaping identity politics and cultural representation. Methodologically, the paper relies on ethnography, reflexivity, and ethical sensitivity in the anthropological study of identity. By synthesizing theoretical insights with empirical considerations, the article contributes to ongoing debates on cultural relativism, identity fluidity, and belonging in contemporary societies. It concludes by affirming anthropology's relevance in understanding identity as a lived, contextual, and evolving cultural phenomenon.

Keywords: Culture; Identity; Anthropology; Social Construction; Globalization; Ethnography

Copyright © 2025 By JLD. Publishers: Omega Books

This is an open access article which permits unrestricted use provided the work is properly cited.

Introduction

The relationship between culture and identity remains one of the most enduring and contested themes in anthropological scholarship. In recent years, this relationship has gained renewed significance as globalization, digital mediation, migration, and political polarization increasingly challenge stable notions of belonging and selfhood. Culture, understood anthropologically as a shared yet contested system of meanings, symbols, and practices, provides the horizon within which identities are formed, interpreted, and transformed. Identity, in turn, reflects the ways individuals and communities locate themselves within cultural narratives, social structures, and historical trajectories (Eriksen, 2020). Contemporary anthropology has moved decisively away from essentialist interpretations of identity toward constructivist and relational approaches. Identity is now widely understood as a dynamic and ongoing process shaped by social interaction, power relations, and cultural interpretation rather than as a fixed or inherited essence (Jenkins, 2024). From this perspective, culture does not merely transmit identity; it actively mediates and reshapes it through language, ritual, memory, and everyday practice. As Hall (2020) argues, identity emerges not from origins alone but from positions formed through difference, representation, and historical context.

Philosophically, this shift aligns with interpretive and phenomenological traditions that emphasize meaning, embodiment, and lived experience. Anthropological theorists increasingly foreground how identities are performed and negotiated within concrete cultural worlds rather than abstractly defined categories (Desjarlais & Throop, 2021). Moreover, postcolonial and critical anthropology have drawn attention to the role of power in identity formation, particularly how colonial histories, global inequalities, and epistemic dominance shape whose identities are recognized or marginalized (Mbembe, 2021). In the context of late modernity, globalization has intensified cultural encounters, producing hybrid, transnational, and digitally mediated identities that resist singular classification (Appadurai, 2021). These developments compel anthropology to rethink culture not as bounded or homogeneous but as fluid, intersecting, and continually reconstituted. This article situates culture and identity within this evolving anthropological and philosophical landscape, arguing that identity is best understood as a culturally embedded, historically situated, and ethically significant human process.

Conceptual Clarifications and Theoretical Framework

A rigorous anthropological analysis of culture and identity requires careful conceptual clarification and a coherent theoretical framework. Both concepts have been subject to extensive debate and revision, particularly as anthropology has moved away from essentialist and deterministic models toward interpretive, constructivist, and critical approaches. This section clarifies the key concepts of culture and identity and situates them within major anthropological and social theories that continue to inform contemporary scholarship.

Defining Culture in Anthropological Thought

The concept of culture has occupied a foundational position in anthropology since the discipline's emergence. Classical definitions, such as E.B. Tylor's characterization of culture as a "complex whole" encompassing knowledge, belief, art, morals, law, and custom, framed culture as a cumulative and transmissible system acquired through socialization. Although influential, such early formulations have been criticized for their evolutionary assumptions and tendency toward homogenization (Eriksen, 2020). Franz Boas' cultural relativism marked

a decisive shift by rejecting unilinear evolutionary models and emphasizing the historical particularity of cultures. Boasian anthropology underscored that cultural practices and meanings must be understood within their specific social and historical contexts, a principle that continues to shape contemporary anthropological methodology (Stocking, 2021). Recent anthropological scholarship reaffirms this contextual approach while acknowledging that cultures are neither closed nor static but internally diverse and externally interconnected (Ingold, 2020). Symbolic and interpretive anthropology, most notably associated with Clifford Geertz, further transformed the understanding of culture by conceptualizing it as a system of symbols and meanings through which humans interpret their world. Culture, from this perspective, is not merely behavior but the webs of significance that make behavior intelligible. Contemporary scholars continue to draw on this interpretive legacy while expanding it to include embodiment, affect, and materiality (Desjarlais & Throop, 2021). Increasingly, culture is understood as practice something people actively do rather than passively inherit. Practice-oriented approaches emphasize lived experience, everyday action, and the negotiation of meaning in concrete social contexts. This shift aligns with phenomenological anthropology, which foregrounds how culture is embodied and experienced rather than abstractly represented (Csordas, 2020). Culture, therefore, emerges as dynamic, processual, and inseparable from human agency.

Understanding Identity

Identity, like culture, has undergone significant reconceptualization in recent decades. Early sociological and psychological approaches tended to treat identity as a stable attribute of individuals or groups. Contemporary anthropology, however, conceptualizes identity as multifaceted, relational, and context-dependent. Personal identity refers to an individual's sense of self, while social and collective identities emerge through membership in groups defined by ethnicity, gender, religion, nationality, or profession (Jenkins, 2024). Rather than being fixed or essential, identity is now widely understood as socially constructed and continuously negotiated through interaction, discourse, and practice. Individuals draw upon cultural resources to articulate who they are, while also responding to external classifications imposed by institutions and power structures (Brubaker, 2020). Identity formation is thus an ongoing process shaped by both self-ascription and social recognition. Anthropological theory also distinguishes identity from related concepts such as selfhood and subjectivity. Selfhood refers to the reflexive awareness of oneself as a person, often explored through phenomenological and psychological lenses. Subjectivity, by contrast, emphasizes how individuals are shaped by social norms, power relations, and discursive regimes. Identity occupies an intermediate space, linking personal experience with collective meaning and social positioning (Ortner, 2021). This distinction is crucial for understanding how individuals experience agency while remaining embedded in cultural and structural contexts.

Theoretical Approaches to Culture and Identity

Multiple theoretical traditions have contributed to anthropological understandings of culture and identity. Structural-functionalism, associated with scholars such as Radcliffe-Brown, emphasized social roles and institutions as mechanisms that maintain social order. While criticized for neglecting conflict and change, this approach remains relevant for analyzing how cultural norms shape identity through role expectations and social integration (Eriksen, 2020). Symbolic interactionism, originating in sociology but influential in anthropology, focuses on identity as emerging through social interaction and shared symbols.

From this perspective, identities are produced and reproduced through everyday encounters, language, and symbolic exchange. Contemporary interactionist approaches highlight identity as performative and situational, particularly in pluralistic and multicultural settings (Fine, 2022). Post-structural and constructivist perspectives have further challenged stable notions of identity by emphasizing discourse, power, and contingency. Influenced by thinkers such as Foucault, these approaches argue that identities are constituted through regimes of knowledge and power rather than simply chosen or inherited. Identity categories such as race, gender, and ethnicity are understood as historically produced and politically charged (Mbembe, 2021). Power and discourse play a central role in identity formation, particularly in postcolonial and global contexts. Anthropologists have shown how colonial histories, state practices, and global inequalities shape whose identities are legitimized and whose are marginalized. Identity thus becomes a site of struggle, resistance, and ethical contestation, rather than merely a marker of belonging (Escobar, 2020).

Culture as a Framework for Identity Formation

Culture functions as the primary medium through which identity is formed, sustained, and transformed. Rather than operating as an external structure imposed upon individuals, culture shapes identity through ongoing processes of socialization, symbolic interaction, and shared meaning-making. Anthropological scholarship increasingly emphasizes that identity formation is not a linear or deterministic process but a dynamic engagement between individuals and the cultural worlds they inhabit (Eriksen, 2020).

Socialization, Enculturation, and the Internalization of Norms

Socialization and enculturation refer to the processes by which individuals acquire the values, norms, and practices of their society. From early childhood, individuals learn culturally appropriate ways of thinking, feeling, and acting, which gradually become internalized as part of their identity. Contemporary anthropology highlights that this process is not passive; individuals actively interpret and negotiate cultural expectations, especially in pluralistic or rapidly changing societies (Jenkins, 2024).

Enculturation involves both formal and informal mechanisms, including family structures, education, religious institutions, and peer interactions. Through these channels, cultural norms are transmitted and embodied, shaping moral dispositions, gender roles, and social identities. Recent studies emphasize that internalization is uneven and contested, particularly where cultural norms conflict with personal aspirations or global influences (Ortner, 2021). Identity thus emerges at the intersection of cultural continuity and individual agency.

Language, Symbols, and Meaning-Making

Language occupies a central role in identity formation, serving as both a communicative tool and a symbolic system through which cultural meanings are articulated. Anthropologists argue that language does not merely reflect reality but actively constructs social worlds by categorizing experience and shaping perception (Duranti, 2021). Through linguistic practices naming, storytelling, and discourse individuals position themselves within cultural narratives of belonging and difference. Symbols further extend this process of meaning-making. Cultural symbols, whether material or immaterial, condense shared values and historical experiences, allowing identities to be expressed and recognized. Interpretive anthropology emphasizes that symbols are polysemic and context-dependent, making identity formation an ongoing interpretive act rather than a fixed outcome (Desjarlais & Throop, 2021). In

contemporary settings, digital media have expanded symbolic repertoires, enabling new forms of identity expression and negotiation (Miller et al., 2021).

Rituals, Traditions, and Collective Memory

Rituals and traditions play a vital role in reinforcing collective identities by linking individuals to shared histories and communal values. Anthropological research shows that rituals function not only as symbolic performances but also as embodied practices that reaffirm social bonds and moral orders (Bell, 2020). Through repeated participation in rituals such as rites of passage, festivals, or commemorative ceremonies individuals internalize cultural narratives that shape their sense of self and belonging. Collective memory further anchors identity by preserving and transmitting shared interpretations of the past. Memory, as understood anthropologically, is not a neutral record of events but a culturally mediated process that selectively emphasizes certain experiences while marginalizing others. Recent scholarship highlights how collective memory is actively constructed and contested, particularly in postcolonial and post-conflict societies where identity is closely tied to historical trauma and resilience (Assmann, 2021).

Religion and Worldview as Identity Anchors

Religion and worldview constitute powerful cultural frameworks for identity formation, offering comprehensive systems of meaning that address fundamental questions of existence, morality, and purpose. Anthropologists emphasize that religious identities are not merely belief-based but are embodied through rituals, ethical practices, and communal belonging (Csordas, 2020). Religious worldviews shape how individuals interpret suffering, success, and social responsibility, thereby deeply influencing personal and collective identities. In many societies, religion remains a central marker of identity, intersecting with ethnicity, politics, and national belonging. Contemporary anthropological studies note that religious identities are increasingly shaped by globalization, migration, and digital communication, resulting in both renewed forms of religious commitment and hybrid expressions of belief (Beyer, 2021). As identity anchors, religion and worldview provide continuity and meaning while also serving as sites of negotiation and contestation in rapidly changing cultural contexts.

Identity, Difference, and Belonging

Identity is inseparable from processes of difference and belonging. Anthropological inquiry has consistently shown that identities are not formed in isolation but emerge through relational distinctions between self and other, insider and outsider, familiar and foreign. Difference, therefore, is not merely a descriptive category but a constitutive dimension of identity formation. Belonging, in turn, reflects the affective and moral dimensions of identity, expressing how individuals locate themselves within social, cultural, and political communities (Yuval-Davis, 2020).

Ethnicity and Cultural Boundaries

Ethnicity remains a central axis through which identity and difference are articulated. Contemporary anthropology understands ethnicity not as a primordial or biological given but as a socially constructed and historically contingent form of collective identity. Following Barth's influential insight, recent studies emphasize that ethnic identities are maintained through the negotiation of cultural boundaries rather than the possession of fixed cultural traits (Eriksen, 2020). Ethnic boundaries are shaped by interaction, migration, state policies,

and power relations. In plural societies, ethnicity often becomes a key marker of belonging and exclusion, influencing access to resources, political representation, and social recognition. Anthropologists note that ethnic identities are increasingly flexible, situational, and strategically mobilized in response to changing social conditions (Brubaker, 2020). Thus, ethnicity exemplifies how identity is simultaneously cultural, political, and relational.

Gender and Identity Across Cultures

Gender is another crucial site where identity and difference intersect. Anthropological research consistently demonstrates that gender identities are culturally constructed and historically variable rather than universally fixed. Gender norms shape expectations concerning behavior, roles, and moral worth, thereby deeply influencing personal and social identities (Connell & Pearse, 2023). Cross-cultural studies reveal diverse configurations of gender that challenge binary and biologically reductionist models. Recent anthropological scholarship foregrounds how gender identities are negotiated through everyday practices, institutional structures, and symbolic representations (Ortner, 2021). Moreover, feminist and queer anthropology emphasize the intersection of gender with other identity markers such as ethnicity, class, and religion, highlighting how systems of inequality shape experiences of belonging and marginalization (Butler, 2020).

Class, Status, and Social Stratification

Class and social status play a significant role in shaping identity by structuring access to material resources, cultural capital, and symbolic recognition. Anthropologists argue that class identities are not merely economic positions but culturally mediated experiences that influence self-perception, aspirations, and social relations (Bourdieu, 2021). Through everyday practices such as consumption, language use, and educational attainment class distinctions become embodied and normalized, reinforcing social hierarchies. Recent studies show that class-based identities are increasingly fragmented in late modern societies, yet remain powerful determinants of belonging and exclusion (Savage et al., 2021). Anthropology thus reveals how social stratification operates not only through material inequality but also through symbolic boundaries that shape identity.

In-Group and Out-Group Dynamics

In-group and out-group distinctions are fundamental mechanisms through which identities are formed and sustained. Anthropological research highlights that belonging is often defined negatively, through the exclusion of those perceived as different or threatening. These distinctions are reinforced through cultural narratives, stereotypes, and institutional practices (Tajfel, 2020). While in-group identification can foster solidarity and social cohesion, it can also generate exclusion, discrimination, and conflict. Contemporary anthropology emphasizes that such dynamics are not natural but socially produced, often intensified by political rhetoric, media representation, and historical grievances (Mbembe, 2021). Understanding identity therefore requires attention to the moral and political implications of boundary-making.

Identity and the Politics of Recognition

The politics of recognition has emerged as a central theme in contemporary identity studies. Recognition refers to the social and institutional affirmation of individuals' and groups' identities as legitimate and valuable. Anthropologists argue that struggles for recognition are not merely symbolic but deeply tied to material conditions, dignity, and justice (Fraser &

Honneth, 2020). Marginalized groups often mobilize identity claims to challenge exclusion and demand inclusion within political and cultural frameworks. However, recent debates caution against reifying identities in ways that obscure internal diversity or reinforce new forms of exclusion (Benhabib, 2022). Anthropology contributes a critical perspective by emphasizing the contextual, negotiated, and ethical dimensions of recognition and belonging.

Globalization, Modernity, and Cultural Change

Globalization and modernity have profoundly reshaped the relationship between culture and identity, challenging earlier anthropological assumptions about bounded cultures and stable identities. Contemporary anthropology increasingly recognizes that cultural identities are formed within translocal, transnational, and digitally mediated contexts, where social life is structured by flows of people, capital, ideas, and technologies. These processes do not simply erode cultural identities but reconfigure them in complex and often contradictory ways (Appadurai, 2021).

Cultural Hybridity and Transnational Identities

One of the most significant consequences of globalization is the emergence of cultural hybridity. Rather than conceiving cultures as discrete and internally homogeneous, anthropologists now emphasize the mixing, borrowing, and rearticulation of cultural forms across boundaries. Hybrid identities emerge as individuals draw simultaneously on local traditions and global cultural repertoires, producing new forms of belonging that resist singular classification (Kraidy, 2020). Transnational identities are particularly evident among migrant communities who maintain social, economic, and emotional ties across national borders. Anthropological studies show that such identities are not transitional but enduring, enabling individuals to inhabit multiple cultural worlds simultaneously (Levitt & Glick Schiller, 2021). These identities complicate conventional notions of citizenship, nationality, and cultural loyalty, underscoring the need for more flexible anthropological frameworks.

Migration, Diaspora, and Identity Negotiation

Migration has become a defining feature of the contemporary world, intensifying processes of identity negotiation. For migrants and diasporic communities, identity formation often involves navigating tensions between cultural continuity and adaptation. Anthropological research highlights how migrants selectively reinterpret cultural norms, balancing inherited traditions with the demands of host societies (Eriksen, 2020). Diaspora identities are shaped by memory, displacement, and the politics of belonging. Collective narratives of origin and loss play a crucial role in sustaining group identity, while everyday practices such as food, language, and religious observance—anchor cultural continuity (Clifford, 2021). At the same time, experiences of exclusion and racialization in host societies often sharpen identity consciousness, transforming culture into a resource for resistance and self-assertion (Mbembe, 2021).

Media, Technology, and Identity Reconstruction

Digital technologies and media platforms have become central arenas for identity construction and expression. Anthropologists increasingly examine how social media, virtual communities, and digital storytelling enable individuals to curate, perform, and negotiate identities in novel ways (Miller et al., 2021). Digital spaces facilitate both the reinforcement of cultural traditions and the experimentation with alternative identities, particularly among

younger generations. However, digital identity formation is also shaped by algorithms, surveillance, and unequal access to technology. Critical anthropological perspectives highlight how power operates within digital environments, influencing visibility, recognition, and exclusion (Couldry & Mejias, 2020). Identity in the digital age is thus simultaneously empowering and constrained, reflecting broader global inequalities.

Tradition, Modernity, and Cultural Tensions

Globalization often intensifies tensions between tradition and modernity, particularly in societies undergoing rapid social change. Anthropologists caution against framing this tension as a simple opposition, emphasizing instead that traditions are continuously reinterpreted in response to modern conditions (Ingold, 2020). Cultural continuity is maintained not through rigid preservation but through adaptive transformation. Modernity introduces new moral frameworks, economic structures, and political institutions that reshape identity aspirations. Yet traditional values and practices often persist as sources of meaning, authority, and resistance. Recent anthropological studies demonstrate that individuals actively negotiate these tensions, and by so doing constructing identities that integrate both inherited and emergent cultural elements (Ortner, 2021). Identity formation under conditions of globalization thus reflects creative agency rather than cultural loss.

Culture and Identity Nexus: Discourse and Conclusion

This article has examined culture and identity from an anthropological perspective, arguing that identity is best understood not as a fixed or essential property but as a dynamic, relational, and culturally mediated process. Drawing on classical anthropological insights while engaging contemporary theoretical developments, the analysis has shown that culture provides the symbolic, normative, and practical frameworks within which identities are formed, negotiated, and transformed. Identity emerges through socialization, language, ritual, memory, and worldview, yet remains open to reinterpretation in response to historical change, power relations, and global interconnectedness (Eriksen, 2020). Philosophically, the study affirms a relational ontology of the human person. Identity is neither reducible to subjective self-consciousness nor fully determined by social structures; rather, it arises in the tension between agency and cultural embeddedness. This position aligns with interpretive and phenomenological traditions that emphasize meaning, embodiment, and lived experience as central to human self-understanding (Desjarlais & Throop, 2021). Culture, in this sense, is not an external constraint but a horizon of intelligibility that enables individuals to recognize themselves and others as meaningful social beings.

Globalization and modernity further complicate identity formation by destabilizing traditional cultural boundaries while generating new forms of hybridity and transnational belonging. Rather than signaling the erosion of culture, these processes reveal its adaptive and generative capacities. Individuals actively rework cultural resources to construct identities that respond to changing economic, technological, and political realities (Appadurai, 2021). Anthropology thus challenges deterministic narratives of cultural loss, emphasizing instead human creativity and interpretive agency in the face of global transformation. At the same time, the analysis has shown that identity formation is deeply entangled with power. Colonial legacies, state practices, digital infrastructures, and global inequalities shape whose identities are recognized, marginalized, or rendered invisible. Postcolonial and critical perspectives remind us that identity is not merely self-expressive but also governed by regimes of knowledge and authority (Mbembe, 2021). Philosophically,

this calls for a critical anthropology attentive to asymmetries of power and committed to ethical reflexivity. In the light of the analysis of this paper, culture and identity must be understood as mutually constitutive, historically situated, and ethically significant dimensions of human life. Anthropology's enduring contribution lies in its capacity to illuminate how identities are lived, contested, and transformed within concrete cultural worlds. By integrating philosophical reflection with empirical sensitivity, this article affirms the relevance of anthropological inquiry for addressing contemporary questions of belonging, difference, and human dignity in an increasingly interconnected yet unequal world.

References

- Appadurai, A. (2021). *The future as cultural fact: Essays on the global condition*. Verso.
- Assmann, J. (2021). *Cultural memory and early civilization*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bell, C. (2020). *Ritual theory, ritual practice*. Oxford University Press.
- Benhabib, S. (2022). *The claims of culture: Equality and diversity in the global era*. Princeton University Press.
- Beyer, P. (2021). *Religion in the context of globalization*. Routledge.
- Bourdieu, P. (2021). *Distinction: A social critique of the judgement of taste* (reissued ed.). Harvard University Press.
- Brubaker, R. (2020). *Identity*. Polity Press.
- Butler, J. (2020). *Gender trouble* (30th anniversary ed.). Routledge.
- Clifford, J. (2021). *Returns: Becoming indigenous in the twenty-first century*. Harvard University Press.
- Couldry, N., & Mejias, U. (2020). *The costs of connection: How data is colonizing human life*. Stanford University Press.
- Connell, R., & Pearse, R. (2023). *Gender: In world perspective* (4th ed.). Polity Press.
- Csordas, T. J. (2020). Embodiment and experience. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 49, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anthro-102219-035231>
- Desjarlais, R., & Throop, C. J. (2021). Phenomenological approaches in anthropology. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 50, 87–102. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anthro-102620-042822>
- Duranti, A. (2021). *Linguistic anthropology*. Cambridge University Press.
- Eriksen, T. H. (2020). *Small places, large issues* (4th ed.). Pluto Press.
- Escobar, A. (2020). *Pluriversal politics: The real and the possible*. Duke University Press.
- Fine, G. A. (2022). *Interactionism*. Routledge.
- Fraser, N., & Honneth, A. (2020). *Redistribution or recognition?* Verso.
- Ingold, T. (2020). *Anthropology: Why it matters*. Polity Press.
- Jenkins, R. (2024). *Social identity* (5th ed.). Routledge.
- Kraidy, M. M. (2020). *Hybridity, or the cultural logic of globalization*. Temple University Press.
- Levitt, P., & Glick Schiller, N. (2021). Conceptualizing simultaneity. *International Migration Review*, 55(1), 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0197918320931952>
- Mbembe, A. (2021). *Out of the dark night: Essays on decolonization*. Columbia University Press.
- Miller, D., et al. (2021). *The anthropology of social media*. UCL Press.
- Ortner, S. B. (2021). *Anthropology and social theory*. Duke University Press.
- Savage, M., et al. (2021). *Social class in the 21st century*. Pelican.
- Stocking, G. W. Jr. (2021). *Franz Boas and the culture concept*. University of Chicago Press.
- Tajfel, H. (2020). *Social identity and intergroup relations*. Cambridge University Press.
- Yuval-Davis, N. (2020). *Belonging: Toward a feminist politics of belonging*. Sage.